



State of New Jersey

CHRIS CHRISTIE
Governor

KIM GUADAGNO
Lt. Governor

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
Bureau of Case Management
401 East State Street
P.O. Box 420/Mail Code 401-05F
Trenton, NJ 08625-0028
Phone #: 609-633-1455
Fax #: 609-633-1439

BOB MARTIN
Commissioner

December 22, 2015

John Occhipinti
BRAC Environmental Coordinator
OACSIM – U.S. Army Fort Monmouth
PO Box 148
Oceanport, NJ 07757

Re: **Revision 1 - Final Environmental Condition of Property Supplemental Phase II Site Investigation Work Plan Addendum for Parcels 34, 50, 51, 52, 66/97, 80 and 83 dated November 2015**
Fort Monmouth
Oceanport, Monmouth County
PI G000000032

Dear Mr. Occhipinti:

The New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (Department) has completed review of the referenced report, received November 24, 2015, prepared by Parsons Government Services Inc. (Parsons), on behalf of the U.S. Army Engineering and Support Center, Huntsville (USAESCH). As indicated in the report, activities are to be performed with the goal of Decision Document acceptance in compliance with the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA), the National Contingency Plan (NCP), 40 CFR Part 300, and “to the extent possible to meet the requirements of New Jersey Administrative Code (NJAC) 7:26E Technical Requirements for Site Remediation”, as well as support closure of environmental sites to facilitate transfer of real property.

The workplan describes Site Investigation activities to be performed at the ECP Parcels as indicated above; based upon the revisions included in the referenced submittal, the workplan is approved. Comments, however, are as follows:


Review of the revised document would have been more efficient if all modifications had been made discernible within the submittal in some manner. Although the correspondence which accompanied the workplan did note many areas of modifications and amendments, many others were found during a “side by side” comparison of the workplans, significantly slowing the review process.

As has been noted in previous correspondence, all material, including tables, figures and maps to be utilized in the review of a submittal are to be included in paper form. Referencing the material as included on the CD is insufficient.

Maps/figures are to include not just sample locations, but also analytical results, in accordance with the Technical Requirements (e.g. Figures B1, G1). This, again, costs review time, as the previous results must be plotted during review to ensure adequate delineation locations/depths are proposed.

It is understood upcoming property transfer needs have necessitated the creation of additional parcel designations to address various areas of contamination while allowing other areas to transfer. A map of the site with each of the parcels noted has previously been received. A narrative description of each of these newly designated parcels would be very beneficial, however, including each parcel's size.

Please contact this office if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Linda S. Range

C: Joe Pearson, Calibre
James Moore, USACE
Rick Harrison, FMERA
Joe Fallon, FMERA
Frank Barricelli, RAB