

United States Army Fort Monmouth, New Jersey



M-5 Landfill (FTMM-05) Remedial Investigation of Landfill Cover Requirements

U.S. Army Garrison Fort Monmouth, Main Post
Fort Monmouth, New Jersey

Prepared by



1913 Atlantic Avenue
Suite R5
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
732-223-2225

Brinkerhoff Project No. 09BR116

May 2011

**M-5 Landfill (FTMM-05)
Remedial Investigation of Landfill Cover Requirements**

**U.S. Army Garrison Fort Monmouth, Main Post
Fort Monmouth, New Jersey**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	Purpose	1
2.0	Site Location and Description	2
3.0	Aerial Photograph Review – Fort Monmouth (1940-1974)	3
4.0	Project History Chronology	5
5.0	Remedial Investigation (RI) Site Activities – April 1998 to August 1998	7
6.0	Laboratory Analytical Results	8
7.0	Depth of Cover Material	12
8.0	Proposed Final Remedial Action	13
9.0	References	14

**M-5 Landfill (FTMM-05)
Remedial Investigation of Landfill Cover Requirements**

**U.S. Army Garrison Fort Monmouth, Main Post
Fort Monmouth, New Jersey**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS
(Continued)**

FIGURES

Figure 1 – Site Location Map (USGS Topographic Map)

Figure 2 – All Exceedances Contaminant Map, M-5 Landfill

Figure 3 – Metals Contaminant Map, M-5 Landfill

Figure 4 – PCBs Contaminant Map, M-5 Landfill

Figure 5 – Pesticides Contaminant Map, M-5 Landfill

Figure 6 – SVOC (Semivolatile Organic Compound) Contaminant Map, M-5 Landfill

Figure 7 – Trenching Location Map, M-5 Landfill

TABLES

Table 1 – Soil Sample Collection Summary

Table 2 – Soil Sampling Results - M-5 Landfill

Table 3 – Borings in which detections exceeded NJDEP SRS, M-5 Landfill

Table 4 – Nonresidential Exceedance Summary, M-5 Landfill

Table 5 - Cover Material Summary, M-5 Landfill

**M-5 Landfill (FTMM-05)
Remedial Investigation of Landfill Cover Requirements**

**U.S. Army Garrison Fort Monmouth, Main Post
Fort Monmouth, New Jersey**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS
(Continued)**

EXHIBITS

- Exhibit I – *Remedial Investigation Report for Near Surface Soils – M-5 Landfill, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey*, prepared by Versar, Inc., dated March 15, 2004
- Exhibit II – Aerial Photographs
- Exhibit III – New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection Correspondence; Fort Monmouth Correspondence
- Exhibit IV – Landfill Disruption Documentation
- Exhibit V – Landfill Delineation Trench Logs

M-5 LANDFILL (FTMM-05)
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION OF LANDFILL COVER REQUIREMENTS

U.S. ARMY GARRISON FORT MONMOUTH, MAIN POST
FORT MONMOUTH, NEW JERSEY

1.0 PURPOSE

This study was prepared in order to propose meaningful action needed to achieve closure of the historic M-5 Landfill. Furthermore, this study incorporates data concerning the redefined landfill area, depth of landfill cover, and the type and degree of contamination present as it relates to current applicable New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) Soil Remediation Standards (SRS). The study concludes with a proposal for final remedial action.

The majority of information summarized in this report was obtained from the *Remedial Investigation Report (RIR) for Near Surface Soils – M-5 Landfill, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey*, prepared by Versar, Inc. (Versar), dated March 15, 2004. The RIR summarizes a Remedial Investigation (RI) performed from April through August of 1998 at the M-5 Landfill. Versar's RIR is provided in Exhibit I of this report. Additional information in this report was provided by representatives of Fort Monmouth (Fort).

The data from the 1998 RI presented in the Versar RIR were compared to the NJDEP's Residential Direct Contact Soil Cleanup Criteria (RDCSCC) in effect at that time. These data are summarized in this report as compared to the Nonresidential Direct Contact Soil Remediation Standards (NRDCSRS) due to the 2008 adoption of the SRS and the acknowledgement of the Fort that the landfill will be Deed-restricted for nonresidential uses.

2.0 SITE LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION

The M-5 Landfill was a former historic fill site located on the northwest corner of the intersection of North Drive and Wilson Avenue and is bordered by Mill and Parkers Creeks to the west in the Main Post Area. The historic fill reportedly contained automobiles as well as domestic and industrial wastes. The approximate size of the M-5 Landfill area is 138,200 feet² (3.2 acres). Based on historical research, the period of operation of the fill site was approximately 1952 to 1959.

The M-5 Landfill is located on the east side of Mill Creek, which flows into Parkers Creek. The USGS topographic map (Figure 1) shows that the land surface of the site is relatively flat at an elevation of less than 20 feet above mean sea level. Surface water runoff from the M-5 Landfill likely flows west into Mill Creek. In 2010, a stream bank stabilization program was completed at the M-5 Landfill; Figures 2, 3, 4 and 5 show the areas of work completed.

The majority of the M-5 Landfill boundaries were determined through the 1998 RI, a prior review of aerial photographs of the Post from 1940 to 1974, and a study conducted in 2009 which consisted of performing trenches. (Refer to Section 7.0 of this report.) The 2009 investigation was undertaken in order to more precisely delineate the perimeter of the M-5 Landfill. That effort involved performing trenches at or near the northwestern, northern, eastern and southern boundaries of the known landfill. The boundaries of the landfill were defined by the trenches performed in the northern, eastern and southern portions of the site. The trenches performed in the northwestern portion/corner of the landfill identified debris and, therefore, did not define the boundary limits in that location. The western boundary of the M-5 is Mill Creek; therefore, trenches were not performed along this boundary.

3.0 AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH REVIEW – FORT MONMOUTH (1940-1974)

In order to better define the limits of the Fort landfills and obtain a better understanding of landfill dates of operation, a review of aerial photographs was performed by Fort representatives. Photographs dated 1940, 1947, 1957, 1963, 1969 and 1974 were reviewed and are summarized below. Copies of the aerial photographs are provided in Exhibit II.

Location Map - Long Branch, New Jersey Quadrangle

A Location Map depicting the boundary of the Post at the Fort shows that the Post is divided by Oceanport Avenue, which runs through the eastern side of the Post. The adjacent municipalities include the Borough of Shrewsbury to the north, the Borough of Eatontown to the south, the City of Long Branch to the southeast, the Borough of Oceanport to the east, and the Borough of Tinton Falls to the west. Both Parkers and Oceanport Creeks surround the northeastern boundary of the Post. No aerial photographs were available prior to 1940.

May 10, 1940 Aerial Photograph

The land uses for the site in 1940 consist of numerous buildings, baseball fields, a golf course, a debris/rubble fill area, and an extraction area. All of the buildings are concentrated on the eastern side of the Post toward Oceanport Avenue. Two railroads are present. The first is adjacent to the Oceanport Avenue section of the Post. The second railroad enters the Main Post boundary through the southeast from Oceanport Avenue and ends to the south of the Building 114 Field House. Discernible buildings include the Gosselin Avenue and 200 area residential housing quarters, Administrative Building 286, and the soldiers' barracks at Barker Circle. The adjacent area to the Post boundary shows a mix of open space and residential neighborhoods.

September 19, 1947 Aerial Photograph

The land use for the site in 1947 reveals the construction of numerous new buildings. Development of the area now spans west from the Oceanport Avenue section ending at the golf course area off of Sherrill Avenue. Both original baseball fields are no longer visible; however, there is a new field in the open space in front of Building 286. A fill area is pictured off of Sherrill Avenue, which appears to be the M-5 Landfill, and an additional fill area/open storage is pictured neighboring the railroad tracks adjacent to the Oceanport Avenue section. A supplementary open storage area is pictured off of Riverside Avenue where Buildings 173 and 174 exist today. A fill area is pictured stretching from the southeastern tip of the Main Post boundary, across the railroad, and ends in the 900 area.

May 2, 1957 Aerial Photograph

The land uses for the site in 1957 reveal additional buildings constructed on the eastern side of the Post near the Main Gate as well as an oval running track toward the center of the southern portion of the Post. An incinerator is depicted in the southeastern corner of the Post boundary off of Main Street in the Borough of Oceanport.

The M-3, M-4, M-5, and M-8 Landfills are now clearly visible on the northeastern portion of the Post. In addition, the M-12 and M-14 Landfills are clearly visible toward the center of the Post. A small probable magazine area is noted and located slightly to the north of the current M-2 Landfill on the southwestern section of the Post. The surrounding area appears to be more developed, with less open space and additional residential housing.

May 13, 1963 Aerial Photograph

The land use for the site in 1963 shows minor changes from the 1957 aerial photograph. The M-2 Landfill is now clearly discernible and marked as a possible landfill. The baseball field in the open area to the west of Building 286 was removed and replaced by Building 500. The small buildings on the northeastern side of the Post adjacent to Oceanport Avenue have been removed and have not been replaced.

May 13, 1963 Aerial Photograph (M-3, M-5 and M-8 Landfills)

Changes in this aerial photograph are primarily in the M-3, M-4, M-5 and M-8 Landfills which consolidate all of the landfills into one area labeled "Site 1." There is an L-shaped dividing wall on what appears to be the border of the M-8 and M-5 Landfills. Possible debris/rubble and a light-toned surface are both noted in the northwestern portion of the M-5 Landfill. The M-2 Landfill is labeled as "Site 2 Poss Landfill" and appears to be fully delineated. A small fill area is depicted behind the Gosselin Avenue housing area within the vicinity of the current M-14 Landfill.

December 6, 1969 Aerial Photograph

The land uses for the site in 1969 show several new buildings which still remain today [the theater (Building 1215), bowling alley (Building 689), and Buildings 361, 362, and 363]. The "Site 1" area comprising the M-3, M-4, M-5, and M-8 Landfills shows a defined boundary for the M-8 Landfill and is now labeled as a landfill. Numerous new fill areas have been noted; the first is located within a small area of the current M-18 landfill, an additional fill area in the western portion of the M-12 Landfill, and small fill area located to the south of the 750 area. A tank cluster is identified behind the Building 116 warehouse on the Oceanport Avenue section of Post.

March 13, 1974 Aerial Photograph

The land use for the subject property in 1974 reveals minor changes. The 1974 aerial photograph depicts the Post from the M-2 and M-3 Landfills to the Oceanport Avenue area. The M-2, M-3, M-8, M-18, and M-12 Landfills appear to be undisturbed and vegetated. The M-8 Landfill appears to still be active.

March 13, 1974 – M-8 Landfill Aerial Photograph

The land use for the subject property in 1974 focuses primarily on the M-8 Landfill. The landfill appears to be active. Both a crane and bulldozer are located on site. In addition, rubble, debris areas, and two burning stalls are visible.

4.0 PROJECT HISTORY CHRONOLOGY

- ✓ **December 1995** – The Fort Monmouth Directorate of Public Works (DPW) submitted the Roy F. Weston, Inc. (Weston) report entitled Site Investigation (SI), Fort Monmouth, New Jersey, Main Post and Charles Wood Areas, Site Investigation Report (SIR), December 1995 to the NJDEP, a copy of which is included in the Versar RIR (Exhibit I).
- ✓ **April 1996** – The NJDEP returned comments regarding the Weston SIR which stated the following regarding the landfills: “All Base landfills must comply with the New Jersey Solid Waste Management Act, N.J.A.C. 7:26-2A, et seq. If Fort Monmouth is able to document that appropriate solid waste closure procedures were followed, no additional action is required other than the NJDEP-approved long-term monitoring. However, if approved closure was not performed at the landfills, it is recommended that a minimum cover of one foot be extended over all areas of documented disposal activities. Also, approximate boundaries must be established and annotated in the Declaration of Environmental Restriction.” A copy of the NJDEP correspondence is provided in Exhibit III.
- ✓ **February 24, 1997** – The Fort DPW issued correspondence stating that the “DPW is unable to document that the former landfill sites located on the Main Post of Fort Monmouth have been closed in accordance with N.J.A.C 7:26-2A, et seq. Each of the referenced areas was covered with suitable fill material at the time of closure. All seven sites have been closed for at least 17 years and have naturally vegetated over this time period. In order to bring this issue to proper closure, the DPW proposed the collection of surface soil samples from each of the seven landfills to document that the existing cover material does not contain contaminant levels above the applicable regulatory criteria and/or establish background levels. Samples were collected in accordance with the requirements set forth in N.J.A.C. 7:26E, et seq. and the NJDEP *Field Sampling Procedures Manual* and were analyzed for TCL+30 parameters and TAL Metals.” A copy of the Fort DPW correspondence is provided in Exhibit III.
- ✓ **July 7, 1998** – The Fort DPW issued correspondence to the NJDEP which proposed a method to demonstrate compliance equivalence of the existing soil cover over the M-5 Landfill with regard to the Solid Waste Disposal Act of 1965. The demonstration would be accomplished by characterizing the near surface soils. A copy of the Fort correspondence is provided in Exhibit III.
- ✓ **August 10, 1998** - The aforementioned DPW proposal was approved by NJDEP. A copy of the NJDEP letter is provided in Exhibit III.

- ✓ **April through August 1998** – A total of 254 borings were installed at strategic locations over the site. All soil samples from the borings were analyzed for Target Compound List (TCL) organics plus 30 parameters and Target Analyte List (TAL) metals. Data exceeding the laboratory method detection limit (MDL) and/or NJDEP RDCSCC are presented in that report. Laboratory analytical data and soil boring data were provided in the Versar RIR.

Additionally, the data were evaluated utilizing the “compliance averaging” approach to determine compliance with NJDEP RDCSCC for certain individual contaminants. *Note that compliance averaging was not able to demonstrate compliance for all applicable contaminants and in consideration of the fact that an engineering control (i.e., capping) and institutional control (Deed Notice) are required to properly close the landfill as per NJDEP requirements; compliance averaging is not considered viable and was not further pursued herein.*

5.0 REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION (RI) SITE ACTIVITIES – APRIL 1998 TO AUGUST 1998

As discussed above, RI activities were performed from April to August of 1998. The study was conducted in accordance with the NJDEP's *Technical Requirements for Site Remediation* [New Jersey Administrative Code (N.J.A.C.) 7:26E] to characterize soil and assess potential risks to human health and the environment. The RI activities included the collection of near surface soils at the M-5 Landfill at 254 borings (B01-B254). A total of 508 soil samples were collected from the 254 borings. Soil sample depths were chosen to minimize recent soil disturbances. Each of the soil samples, except those prepared for volatile organic compound (VOC) analyses, was taken between approximately 0 to 12 inches below ground surface (bgs). (VOC samples were taken from 18 to 24 inches bgs since surface soils would not be expected to retain such volatiles over time). The soil boring locations were located in the M-5 Landfill as identified in the 1995 Weston SI. The locations of the borings were established in a gridlike pattern within the previously designated boundaries of the M-5 Landfill.

6.0 LABORATORY ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Laboratory analyses of the samples collected at the M-5 Landfill were conducted at the Fort Monmouth Environmental Testing Laboratory (FMETL), New Jersey Certification No. 13461.

Summary: Following is a summary of the results presented in Versar's 2004 RIR. An evaluation is provided which includes a comparison to the current NJDEP NRDCSRS. Results as historically compared to the May 12, 1999 criteria are presented in Table 1 of Versar's RIR.

The analytical data were summarized by Fort representatives and presented in the following tables:

- Table 1 - Soil Sample Collection Summary;
- Table 2 - Soil Sampling Results Summary;
- Table 3 - Borings in which Detections exceeded NJDEP NRSRS; and
- Table 4 - Laboratory Analysis - Nonresidential Exceedance Summary.

Laboratory analytical data were also presented on plans/figures by representatives of the Fort. The figures provided are as follows:

- Figure 2 - All Exceedances Contaminant Map, M-5 Landfill (FTMM-05) – Main Post, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey. FOUO. December 10, 2010.
- Figure 3 - Metals Contaminant Map, M-5 Landfill (FTMM-05) – Main Post, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey. FOUO. December 10, 2010.
- Figure 4 - PCBs Contaminant Map, M-5 Landfill (FTMM-05) - Main Post, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey. FOUO. December 10, 2010.
- Figure 5 - Pesticides Contaminant Map, M-5 Landfill (FTMM-05) - Main Post, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey. FOUO. December 10, 2010.
- Figure 6 - SVOC (Semivolatile Organic Compound) Contaminant Map, M-5 Landfill (FTMM-05) - Main Post, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey. FOUO. December 10, 2010.
- Figure 7 – Delineation Trenching Location Map, M-5 Landfill (FTMM-05) – Main Post, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey. FOUO. June 24, 2010.

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)

The VOC samples were collected from M-5 Landfill soils at a depth of 24 inches bgs. There were no exceedances of NJDEP NRDCSRS for VOCs from the 254 soil borings. It should be noted that some MDLs were identified exceeding the current applicable standards (NRDCSRS). Although strict compliance cannot be determined at these locations, these were previously reported as nondetectable (ND) and, therefore, would represent low level contamination if, in fact, contaminants were present.

Target Analyte List (TAL) Metals

The soil samples from the 254 borings (Figure 3) were analyzed for 24 TAL metals. The samples were collected from depths of 6 to 12 inches bgs.

A summary of the metals NJDEP regulatory exceedances is presented below.

- Arsenic was detected in 10 of the site soil samples at concentrations exceeding the NRDCSRS of 19 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg). The exceedance concentrations ranged from a minimum of 19.98 mg/kg (B8) to a maximum of 1,030 mg/kg (B19).
- Lead was detected at concentrations greater than the NRDCSRS of 800 mg/kg in 10 site soil samples. The exceedance concentrations ranged from a minimum of 810.3 mg/kg (B33) to a maximum of 4,549 mg/kg (B99).

Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) and Pesticides

PCB and pesticides analyses were conducted on soil samples collected from the 254 borings at the M-5 Landfill (Figures 4 and 5, respectively). Each of these soil samples was collected at approximately the same depth range, 6 to 12 inches bgs. Each of the 254 borings was analyzed for 19 pesticides and seven PCB compounds.

A summary of the PCBs and pesticides NJDEP regulatory exceedances is presented below.

- PCBs were detected in one of the site soil samples at concentrations exceeding the NRDCSRS of 1 mg/kg (1.499 mg/kg at B201).
- 4,4'-DDT was detected at a concentration exceeding the NRDCSRS of 8 mg/kg in four site soil samples. The exceedance concentrations ranged from a minimum of 11.18 mg/kg (B59) to a maximum of 56.45 mg/kg (B254).

Semivolatile Organic Compounds (SVOCs)

SVOC analyses were conducted on soil samples collected from the 254 soil borings at the M-5 Landfill (Figure 6). Each of these soil samples was collected at approximately the same depth range, 6 to 12 inches bgs.

A summary of the SVOC NJDEP regulatory exceedances is presented below.

- Benzo(*a*)anthracene was detected in six of the site soil samples at concentrations exceeding the NJDEP NRDCSRS of 2 mg/kg. The exceedance concentrations ranged from a minimum of 2.1 mg/kg (B234) to a maximum of 13 mg/kg (B84, B220).
- Benzo(*a*)pyrene was detected in 52 of the site soil samples at concentrations exceeding the NJDEP NRDCSRS of 0.2 mg/kg. The exceedance concentrations ranged from a minimum of 0.21 mg/kg (B167, B230, B235) to a maximum of 11 mg/kg (B84).

- Benzo(*b*)fluoranthene was detected in five site soil samples at concentrations exceeding the NJDEP NRDCSRS of 2 mg/kg. The exceedance concentrations ranged from a minimum of 2.4 mg/kg (B71) to a maximum of 9.2 mg/kg (B84).
- Dibenz(*a,h*)anthracene was detected in nine of the site soil samples at concentrations exceeding the NJDEP NRDCSRS of 0.2 mg/kg. The exceedance concentrations ranged from a minimum of 0.24 mg/kg (B7) to a maximum of 25 mg/kg (B220).
- Indeno(1,2,3-*cd*)pyrene was detected in four site soil samples at concentrations greater than the NJDEP NRDCSRS of 2 mg/kg. The exceedance concentrations ranged from a minimum of 2.1 mg/kg (B202) to a maximum of 19 mg/kg (B220).

It should be noted that some MDLs were identified exceeding the current applicable standards (NRDCSRS). Although strict compliance cannot be determined at these locations, these were previously reported as ND and, therefore, would represent low level contamination if, in fact, contaminants were present.

Fort Monmouth Plans/Figures Summary

The contaminant exceedances noted above were presented by the Fort on the aforesaid five figures. A review of Figure 2 shows scattered exceedances of NJDEP NRDCSRS for SVOCs, metals, PCBs and Pesticides throughout the majority of the M-5 Landfill area. Six of the 254 boring locations (B19, B71, B84, B92, B202 and B220) are marked as Order of Magnitude (OM) locations, exhibiting one or more exceedances of an applicable standard by more than a factor of 10.

Figure 3 shows the presence of arsenic and lead throughout the M-5 Landfill, with 10 exceedances of lead and 10 exceedances of arsenic. These metal exceedances are located in the western central and northern portions of the landfill. One of the boring locations (B19) is marked as an OM location.

Figure 4 shows the presence of PCBs at one location in the central portion of the M-5 Landfill (B201). The PCBs concentration in this soil sample exceeds the NRDCSRS. This location is not an OM location.

Figure 5 shows the presence of pesticides throughout the M-5 Landfill, with four exceedances of 4,4'-DDT. One of the exceedance locations is at the northern boundary of the Landfill (B59), and the other three exceedance locations are clustered together in the southwest corner of the landfill (B249, B250, B254). These locations are not OM locations.

Figure 6 shows 45 SVOC exceedances throughout the M-5 Landfill without a distinct pattern. Five of the 254 boring locations (B71, B84, B92, B202, B220) are marked as OM locations, exhibiting one or more exceedances of an applicable standard by more than a factor of 10.

Landfill Disruption Approval

A Minor Landfill Disruption Application was submitted to the NJDEP by Princeton Hydro, LLC in May of 2010 for proposed borings, test pits, piezometer and well installations, groundwater injections, and gas surveys at the Fort landfill sites. Said application was prepared for ongoing landfill delineation and investigation activities. A permit approval dated September 16, 2010 was issued by NJDEP for this proposed work. Correspondence and documentation related to the Minor Landfill Disruption Permit (including postdisturbance documentation) are provided in Exhibit IV.

7.0 DEPTH OF COVER MATERIAL

“Cover material” is defined here as soil and other materials, such as concrete, gravel, brick, sand, clay, silt, active or discontinued structures, used to contain or convey utilities and similar materials that under normal circumstances do not pose a health or safety hazard to individuals using reasonable care. Common landfill debris found at the M-5 Landfill not considered cover material includes cinders, wood, glass, cement, glass, rusting metal, bottles, bricks, ash, leaf debris, ceramic plates, china, and coal fragments.

Depth of cover material was derived from the logs for all 254 borings in 1998 and 11 trenches and one boring excavated/performed between January and February 2009 for the primary purpose of delineating the boundaries of the M-5 Landfill. (Soil boring and trench locations are identified on Figures 2 through 7) Soil boring logs and detailed trench logs show the depth of cover found at each boring and trench location. The boring logs are included in the Versar RIR (Exhibit I); the trench logs are provided in Exhibit V. In addition, a summary of the cover material is provided in Table 5.

Summary of Findings

- a. The average depth of cover at the M-5 Landfill is 24.1 inches.
- b. Depth of cover throughout the M-5 Landfill varies from a maximum of 72 inches (Trenches T-5, T-10 and T-11) to a minimum of zero cover (Borings 8, 9, 53, 66, 67, 75, 76, 162 and 163).
- c. 30.88% (80 out of 259) of boring/trench logs show depth of cover greater than 24 inches.
- d. Approximately 34.36% of boring/trench locations (89 of 259) show cover greater than 20 inches.

8.0 PROPOSED FINAL REMEDIAL ACTION

As discussed earlier in this report, concentrations of SVOCs, pesticides, PCBs and metals were detected greater than the exceeding NJDEP NRDCSRS. Analysis of these analytical results did not define a “source area” or level of contamination that necessitated the identification and evaluation of potential remedial actions other than the permanent closure of the landfill.

To address the exceedances of analytes which did not meet cleanup requirements in the soils, the DPW will incorporate a Deed Notice into the Fort Master Plan for these soils. This shall include the entire area of the defined landfill.

Given the inactive and undisturbed status of the landfill, the performance of long-term surface water and groundwater monitoring proximate to the M-5 Landfill, the negligible impacts reported to date, the lack of groundwater use at and/or downgradient of the M-5 Landfill, and the low concentrations of contaminants of concern in the shallow surface soils across the site, No Further Action is recommended for the previously evaluated near surface soils at the M-5 Landfill with the exception of the proposed capping.

It is recommended, however, that supplemental soil borings and sampling be performed in the "additional" landfill areas identified during the performance of the 2008 trenches/borings (and not evaluated in the 1998 investigation).

As per the November 17, 2010 “NJDEP Regulatory Requirements for Fort Monmouth Landfills (Regulatory Requirements)” provided in Exhibit III, it is proposed that an additional 12 inches of clean soil cover material be placed throughout the M-5 Landfill.

A capping plan shall be prepared that allows for proper drainage, facilitates growth of vegetation, and ensures that the cover is durable. Should areas of significant surface contamination be encountered during the site capping activities, then “hot spot” removals shall be undertaken as required in the aforesaid November 17, 2010 NJDEP Regulatory Requirements. This proposed capping action is an appropriate and prudent additional measure that will provide an ample physical barrier to direct contact by persons and wildlife that may traverse the area and undertake reasonably expected activities.

Brinkerhoff recommends that a formal Landfill Closure Plan including the above recommendations be submitted for NJDEP review and approval.

9.0 REFERENCES

Remedial Investigation Report for Soils – M-5 Landfill Ft. Monmouth, New Jersey; Versar, Inc.; March 15, 2004.

Site Investigation, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey, Main Post and Charles Wood Areas, Site Investigation Report; Roy F. Weston; December 1995.