

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

FORT MONMOUTH RESTORATION
ADVISORY BOARD

IN RE:)
FORT MONMOUTH RESTORATION) TRANSCRIPT OF:
ADVISORY BOARD) PROCEEDINGS
)
)
)

Thursday, October 2, 2014
Fort Monmouth
455 Wade Avenue
Oceanport, New Jersey 07757
7:00 p.m.

B E F O R E:
WANDA GREEN - Army Co-Chair
BRIAN CHARNICK - Eatontown Representative
JOEL GRIMM - Monmouth Co. Health Department
RICHARD GRUSKOS - Oceanport Representative
FRANK BARRICELLI - Oceanport Representative
LINDA RANGE - NJDEP Case Manager
EDWARD DLUGOSZ - Eatontown Representative
FRANCES OWENS - Resident Representative

ALSO PRESENT:
Allyson Kriney - Parsons
Paul Feshbach-Meriney - Parsons
Richard Simun - Parsons

Job No. NJ1927481

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

TRANSCRIPT of the stenographic notes of
the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, as
taken by and before LYDIA F. McDONNELL, a Certified
Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public of the State of
New Jersey, held at FORT MONMOUTH, 455 Wade Avenue,
Oceanport, New Jersey, on Thursday, October 2, 2014,
commencing at 7:00 p.m.

Job No. NJ 1927481

1 MS. GREEN: James Allen will not be here
2 tonight. He called me, he has a medical issue going
3 on, so he won't be able to make it. So I would like
4 to go ahead and get the meeting started, and let's
5 start with the Pledge of Allegiance.

6 (Pledge of Allegiance.)

7 MS. GREEN: Now, Jim usually does the
8 voting on the minutes, so if I miss the right
9 procedure, just let me know.

10 Okay. All right. I sent out minutes,
11 and then we had a revision from Linda, and that's why
12 you have -- the writing is in red of what Linda
13 added. If you need to take a minute to look at the
14 revised minutes, you can at this time. Just let me
15 know when you're ready, and we can vote on whether or
16 not you accept the minutes or if any changes need to
17 be made.

18 (Pause.)

19 MS. GREEN: Do you need more time? Are
20 you ready?

21 Okay. Does anyone have any comments on
22 the minutes?

23 MR. BARRICELLI: Page 1, RAB members
24 present, James Allen. Make that Public Co-Chair.

25 MR. DLUGOSZ: Community Co-Chair are the

1 precise words.

2 MR. BARRICELLI: "Community" Co-Chair is
3 probably the correct word instead of "Public."

4 MR. DLUGOSZ: Yeah, I was told that once
5 upon a time.

6 MS. GREEN: Anything else?

7 Ed. Use the microphone, please.

8 MR. DLUGOSZ: I have numerous comments
9 on the minutes, and I would call -- I would like to
10 postpone any, what do you call it, reading or
11 comments on the -- on the minutes until I've had a
12 chance to read the -- either the transcript or the --
13 the tape of the proceedings. One reason is that some
14 of the items don't coincide with my memory and my
15 notes, and two, there were a couple of things that I
16 felt that needed to be added, and I'm waiting on my
17 own OPRA request to be fulfilled.

18 MS. GREEN: For the minutes?

19 MR. DLUGOSZ: No, one of the --

20 MS. GREEN: Oh.

21 MS. RANGE: One of the comments in
22 there.

23 MS. GREEN: Oh. In regards to a
24 presentation?

25 MR. DLUGOSZ: Yes.

1 MS. GREEN: Okay. Do we have to vote on
2 that, or we'll just hold the minutes until he has a
3 chance to review the actual stenographer's notes, is
4 what you're asking?

5 MR. DLUGOSZ: Yes.

6 MS. GREEN: Okay. We don't have to vote
7 on that.

8 MR. DLUGOSZ: No.

9 MS. GREEN: Okay.

10 MR. DLUGOSZ: It's usually Jim who makes
11 the decision on that.

12 MS. GREEN: Well, Jim's not here, so I
13 say we don't have to vote on it. We can -- we can
14 hold off on the minutes, and I can get that
15 information to you.

16 MR. DLUGOSZ: Okay.

17 MS. GREEN: Does anyone else have any
18 comments on the minutes?

19 MR. CHARNICK: Yes; I have.

20 MS. GREEN: Okay.

21 MR. CHARNICK: I noticed that the DEP
22 made some comments before we actually read everything
23 now, so...

24 MS. GREEN: No, I -- I emailed this to
25 you guys.

1 MR. CHARNICK: Yeah, but I -- I didn't
2 think that members, during the month, can change the
3 minutes.

4 MS. GREEN: I -- that's why I sent them
5 to you, for you to be able to review them.

6 MR. CHARNICK: Okay. I thought at the
7 meeting, the public meeting is when we make comments
8 on those.

9 MS. GREEN: Either way.

10 MR. CHARNICK: No problem. No problem.

11 Okay. I was trying to read what
12 Mr. Potter -- some of the key points Mr. Potter
13 made -- Motter, I'm sorry. And on Page 2, there's an
14 attempt to sort of clarify some of the key points
15 he -- he was stating there regarding the Monmouth
16 County 20-lakes study and the conclusions.

17 MS. GREEN: Excuse me. Can you put the
18 mike closer to you. I see her ear trying to get to
19 you.

20 MR. CHARNICK: Regarding the -- the
21 Monmouth County 20-lakes study and the conclusions
22 where he said that the lake is clean, I guess, or --
23 or within standards, and he also said it's not --

24 (Reporter interruption.)

25 MS. GREEN: Wait a minute. Let Brian

1 finish what his opinion is.

2 MR. CHARNICK: He said the County study
3 on the graph was improperly done, because they
4 added the -- combined the contaminants, which is
5 clearly stated in the minutes, so that's a good
6 point. But then he said the conclusion is that the
7 lake is not any worse than any other of the 20 lakes
8 in the County. He made some statement like that, and
9 that doesn't seem to be in here, so that was my only
10 thing. So there are certain things he said that were
11 very important things to the audience here.

12 MS. GREEN: So --

13 MR. CHARNICK: I -- I would --

14 MS. GREEN: -- your comment is that you
15 would like to add --

16 MR. CHARNICK: I would like to add a
17 sentence to what Mr. Motter's comments are, is that
18 in response to the question, he stated that from the
19 County data and the way that report was put together,
20 if done correctly, there was -- Wampum Lake is not
21 any worse than any other County lake. I thought
22 he -- does everybody agree that he said that?

23 MS. RANGE: I don't remember how he
24 phrased it.

25 MR. BARRICELLI: I do.

1 MR. GRUSKOS: I do.

2 MR. DLUGOSZ: I do, too. That's one of
3 the reasons I wanted to review the -- these important
4 minutes --

5 MR. CHARNICK: It's an important thing.

6 MR. DLUGOSZ: -- and review the
7 transcript, because I don't think that's what he
8 said.

9 MS. GREEN: Rich, get the mike.

10 MR. GRUSKOS: Well, I just --

11 MS. GREEN: Wait a minute. I'm sorry,
12 Brian. Were you finished? Let him finish, and then
13 we'll hear your comment.

14 MR. CHARNICK: Before the meeting, I
15 asked this nice lady, who's very carefully taking the
16 verbatim transcript, if she did these minutes and if
17 that's what was said, and she stated that she just
18 takes the transcript and hands it to someone else who
19 listens to it and puts this sort of synopsis
20 together. Is that how it works? Like, who actually
21 prepares these minutes?

22 MS. GREEN: Ms. Allyson is preparing the
23 minutes. She prepares the minutes, and we have
24 someone else who does the stenography, and we have
25 it -- a recording. So we have a lot of

1 information --

2 MR. CHARNICK: Okay.

3 MS. GREEN: -- and Allyson is just
4 taking notes as we are doing the actual meeting. So,
5 if you're going -- if you would like to quote what
6 Mr. Motter said and you would want that in the
7 minutes, we can go back and add that. So instead of
8 saying you heard he said X, Y, Z, what I can do is --
9 is have her go back and listen to what the tape says
10 or --

11 MR. CHARNICK: That's fine.

12 MS. GREEN: -- what the stenographer
13 has, and we can add that.

14 So is that the reason why you wanted --

15 MR. DLUGOSZ: That's one of the reasons,
16 yeah.

17 MS. GREEN: So do you still want it --

18 MR. DLUGOSZ: Yes.

19 MS. GREEN: -- or you want to -- okay.
20 No problem.

21 MR. CHARNICK: And if anyone, then,
22 disagrees or --

23 MR. BARRICELLI: No.

24 MR. CHARNICK: -- with what happened at
25 that meeting or wants to add new information, we can

1 do that at this meeting. But we can't change what
2 actually was said at the last meeting.

3 MS. GREEN: Not if we're trying to quote
4 someone. Because I can actually give you a copy of
5 what the stenographer said and email that to
6 everyone, and then you can discuss it further.

7 MR. CHARNICK: Yeah, it was only that --
8 I was only interested in that one point 'cause that
9 was, like, a game changer to several of the people
10 here, so --

11 MS. GREEN: I understand.

12 MR. CHARNICK: -- I just thought it
13 should be reflected properly in the minutes.

14 MS. GREEN: I understand. And that's
15 what we will do.

16 MR. CHARNICK: Okay. Thank you.

17 MR. GRUSKOS: Okay. Just -- just
18 concerning that topic of the presentations made by
19 Mr. Motter. He made reference to a study that was
20 widely circulated but then later amended. Something
21 about, like, decimal points were off on one -- some
22 of the graphs, or like a key graphical depiction I
23 think we've all been relying on. And I was kind of
24 hoping at some point, even it goes beyond the scope
25 of -- of the RAB, I was interested in getting,

1 actually, a copy of that amended 20-lakes study.

2 And it was -- it was my understanding
3 that the individual pollutants had to be just plotted
4 separately, rather than an algebraic sum of similar
5 ones put together. I think that was one of the
6 points that he was trying to make to us during his
7 presentation that was key.

8 MR. DLUGOSZ: Right. He tried to -- he
9 tried to separate the SVOCs, the -- what do you call
10 it, the pesticides from the metals. And the impetus
11 and the direction of my study or my -- my review
12 of -- of his stuff and the presentation that I made
13 was heavy metals only. So I -- and when he mentioned
14 that there was a -- he did a graph, you would see the
15 differences. I asked him for a copy of that, and he
16 said, No, you'll have to do it yourself, essentially.

17 MR. GRUSKOS: Right. He made it sound
18 as if the original author was gonna amend certain
19 aspects of the document --

20 MS. GREEN: No, he --

21 MR. GRUSKOS: -- related to decimal
22 point errors, is what I understood.

23 MS. GREEN: Yeah, the original author is
24 retired from the County. So, no, he won't be doing
25 that.

1 MR. GRIMM: There are no plans to amend
2 the site study.

3 MS. GREEN: Well, we just heard from the
4 County. There are no plans to amend.

5 MR. GRUSKOS: But was there, I guess --
6 it was my understanding there was some sort of a
7 statement added to the original study?

8 MR. CHARNICK: You could find the
9 original study on the website.

10 MR. GRIMM: Yeah, the original study's
11 on the website.

12 MR. CHARNICK: Yeah, it's on Google. If
13 you put in the Monmouth County --

14 MR. GRUSKOS: But as far as the
15 amendment that was referenced by the DEP from the
16 last meeting --

17 MS. GREEN: Frank is shaking his head.
18 I believe Frank has a copy of it.

19 MR. BARRICELLI: Okay. What happened
20 was I sent corrections to Mr. Simun's on those pages
21 in the back where all the data sheets were. He put
22 that in a change that was issued in August 2012. If
23 you go to the current version, and what Mr. Motter
24 said is correct, is that the corrections to the data
25 errors was made, but no changes were made to

1 graphical depictions. So, you know, it's like they
2 did half the job and didn't go back.

3 And I think the big point about the
4 graphical depictions was the inclusion of
5 hydrocarbons. Because that's where I mentioned the
6 first time that the hydrocarbons caused a higher
7 spike and shouldn't have been included, because it
8 was masking the metals. And at no time do I remember
9 him saying that -- what I believe he said was the
10 contamination of Wampum Lake is consistent with other
11 urban lakes in the state, not that it was less
12 than -- than any of the other 20 lakes. It was where
13 it is and what the numbers say, but it's consistent
14 with other urban lakes, is the term he used.

15 MR. DLUGOSZ: If you just looked at the
16 metals, and I have at length --

17 MR. BARRICELLI: Yeah.

18 MR. DLUGOSZ: -- I dispute that
19 statement a bit. But that's why I want to --

20 MS. GREEN: Well, we can go into that.
21 I will send the transcript, and we will have exactly
22 what he said, how he said it, and it will be amended
23 and added to the minutes.

24 MR. CHARNICK: Thank you.

25 MR. DLUGOSZ: Then -- then I --

1 MS. GREEN: So we won't go through his
2 presentation. I mean, I don't think we need to any
3 further. Everyone will be able to see it. And as a
4 matter of fact, when I email it to Ed, I will just
5 email it to the entire board so everyone will take
6 a -- will have a chance to review it.

7 MR. DLUGOSZ: Would the -- the tape be
8 made available to us?

9 MS. GREEN: Yeah, we can --

10 MR. DLUGOSZ: I got an hour and a half.

11 MS. GREEN: You can make a copy of the
12 tape, yes.

13 MR. DLUGOSZ: Okay. Good. Thank you.

14 MS. GREEN: Linda, you had your hand up.
15 Did you want to comment on --

16 MS. RANGE: No. I think Frank pretty
17 much covered it.

18 MS. GREEN: All right. So it -- it
19 sounds like we won't be actually voting on -- well,
20 we won't be voting on the minutes tonight, anyway.
21 So after everyone gets the transcript, you could take
22 a second look at the minutes and add whatever
23 comments you want to add, okay?

24 MR. DLUGOSZ: Thank you.

25 MS. GREEN: So we have a vote to put it

1 aside? Sorry. I'm doing things different tonight.
2 Jim was usually the...

3 Okay. I want to change the order of
4 No. 3, because I believe that the first bullet will
5 probably take a little more time, and I want to make
6 sure we do get done on four -- I mean, Landfill Area
7 4 in.

8 As I stated in -- in previous meetings,
9 what I'm trying to do is, whenever we have a final
10 document, I plan to give a presentation on that
11 document or have the contractor, Parsons, give a
12 presentation on that document, to help you to
13 understand what it is that you are reviewing when you
14 receive your disc. Everyone should have received the
15 M4 RI/FS, Remedial Investigation Feasibility Study in
16 the mail, 'cause I mailed it out to everyone, and the
17 actual UHOT disc was given out last week, and I just
18 gave you another copy if you wanted to have another
19 copy. If you want another copy of M4, there are more
20 on the back table.

21 So we're gonna start with Paul from
22 Parsons, who will be explaining -- going into a
23 little briefing of the RI/FS for the M4 landfill.
24 We're gonna hold all questions until after the
25 presentation is complete. Paul.

1 MR. FESHBACH-MERINEY: Okay. Can
2 everyone hear me? Is this on?

3 MR. DLUGOSZ: The mike, no.

4 MR. FESHBACH-MERINEY: How about now?
5 Is that better?

6 Okay. As Wanda said, I'm gonna be
7 giving you an overview of the Fort Monmouth 04
8 Remedial Investigation Feasibility Study, RI/FS
9 report. Slide 2.

10 So this is the agenda. There are five
11 items on the agenda. The first bullet, I'm gonna
12 describe the RI/FS process under the Comprehensive
13 Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability
14 Act, otherwise known as CERCLA, and then I'm gonna
15 cover the New Jersey Department of Environmental
16 Protection or New Jersey DEP Administrative Code
17 7:26E, which is also known as the Technical
18 Requirements for Site Remediation.

19 The second item, I'm gonna give you a
20 little bit of a background of where Fort Monmouth
21 MM-04 is located on the site, and then a little bit
22 of background history, and then close with a summary
23 of the remedial investigation. And the remedial
24 investigation consists of those two components I've
25 shown here; the site characterization part, and then

1 the risk assessment part. And then, finally, close
2 with the feasibility study summary.

3 So let me start off. Fort Monmouth was
4 closed in September 2011 under the 2005 BRAC, or Base
5 Realignment and Closure action, and BRAC requires
6 that the RI/FS process, under CERCLA, be followed to
7 close out these sites, so we're gonna do that.

8 In this case for Fort Monmouth, NJDEP
9 provides the primary regulatory oversight, so we also
10 have to comply with the NJDEP requirements to the
11 extent possible. So Parsons is preparing the RI/FS
12 reports to achieve the BRAC requirements and gain
13 final approval of the documents by DEP. And as I
14 stated earlier, DEP has primacy for regulatory
15 oversight, and their role is primarily to review and
16 approve the RI/FS reports and the other associated
17 documents, and then provide approval of the final
18 remedies and issue No Further Action letters for the
19 sites.

20 So what's the RI/FS process? This is a
21 quick summary of -- a very quick summary of the
22 process. There are many manuals written and
23 guidelines for it. But the RI/FS is a CERCLA process
24 to evaluate sites, and usually sites that are not
25 active. The remedial investigation component, the

1 first component of it is really the nature and extent
2 of contaminants, so we do that work to define what
3 are called "contaminants of potential concern."
4 Those are chemicals that may pose a risk on the site.
5 Those are also referred to as "COPCs."

6 Now, the COPCs are then fed into a risk
7 assessment, and as a result of a risk assessment, you
8 define what are called "contaminants of concern," or
9 "COCs." Then those COCs become targets for remedial
10 action on the site. And remedial action is defined
11 or identified in the Feasibility Study section. So
12 I've got three bullets there, and the process for the
13 Feasibility Study is develop, and then screening of
14 remedial alternatives, and if necessary, we can do
15 treatability study investigations, and then, finally,
16 you have a detailed analysis of all the remedial
17 alternatives.

18 So the remedial investigation and
19 Feasibility Study components gets wrapped into an
20 RI/FS report, and that RI/FS report leads to what's
21 called a Proposed Plan, and then eventually a Record
22 of Decision. The Proposed Plan is a document that
23 helps initiate the public involvement portion, and
24 the Record of Decision just solidifies the remedy
25 that's been selected for the site. So that's a quick

1 summary of the RI/FS process.

2 The next couple of slides are specific
3 to -- to Landfill 4, FTMM-04. This one is what
4 you've all seen. It's two portions of Fort Monmouth,
5 the Main Post up to the -- to the right, and the
6 Charles Wood area to the left, and that's the Garden
7 State Parkway running north-south that's green on to
8 the left.

9 This is a view of the Main Post area and
10 you could see -- you could see we highlighted, with a
11 red outline, FTMM-04 there in the left central
12 portion of the Main Post.

13 So a little background and history of
14 FTMM-04. It's a small site. It's 1.4 acres. It was
15 used in 1955 to 1956 by Fort Monmouth as a landfill.
16 It's been inactive since 1956. It currently has
17 stable vegetated cover over the landfill material.
18 The future use is passive open space per the Concept
19 Plan in 2018, and I think that's it for this slide.
20 A quick summary.

21 Here's a map of FTMM-04 that's in the
22 RI/FS report. You'll see the red outline, the red
23 dash line is the outline of the landfill. The
24 landfill running north-south is Mill Creek. That
25 runs north to intercept with Pochuck Creek, that then

1 joins Parkers Creek to the north. You'll also notice
2 there are -- I don't know if you can see the color,
3 but there are blue well symbols there. There's three
4 wells on the east side of Mill Creek, and then -- oh,
5 excuse me. Four on the east side and two on the west
6 side throughout the landfill.

7 The other thing you'll notice is there's
8 a gray dash line, also, which is the former boundary
9 of the FTMM-04 landfill before they had done the
10 landfill investigation in 2008 to refine the landfill
11 boundary; and the landfill boundary today is the one
12 you see in the red dash line. To the north you'll
13 see there's a couple other landfills, FTMM-03 and
14 FTMM-05. Next slide.

15 So this is -- this slide is basically a
16 summary of -- of the nature and extent of the -- for
17 the results for the RI/FS FTMM-04. And -- and the
18 site has been investigated by many varied programs
19 from 1997 to 2011, and most recently during the
20 baseline groundwork standpoint that was conducted in
21 2013. The geologic setting is pretty simple. It's
22 topsoil, it covers about four to eight feet of
23 landfill material, and then beneath that is natural
24 material of the Hornerstown Formation, which is a
25 clay and silt-clay material.

1 The next set of bullets kind of gives
2 you a feel for what the field investigations were
3 like that were performed. The extent of the
4 landfills were delineated by test pits and borings.
5 As I mentioned earlier, that's when we went from the
6 previous delineation that was in gray to the one
7 that's in the final red dash lines that I showed you
8 on the map before.

9 There have been a total of 66
10 near-surface and soil samples from 63 borings; 246
11 groundwater samples from up to six monitoring wells
12 from 1997 to 2013; 159 surface water samples from up
13 to four locations between 1996 and 2010; and then 13
14 sediment samples from six locations, and those were
15 analyzed for PCBs only. And the last bullet there
16 just indicates that there have been some background
17 studies on -- on the site as well, and those were
18 primarily targeted to metals.

19 So all the data that was collected for
20 the RI during the Nature and Extent Investigation is
21 compared to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, or
22 USEPA, regional screening levels and the New Jersey
23 direct contact soil remediation standards, both the
24 residential and the non-residential scenarios, as
25 well as the impact to groundwater standards for

1 soils.

2 So let's take a look at the chemical
3 results from the RI Nature and Extent. The first
4 bullet there is for soil. And the way I've divided
5 this up is the first bullet -- sub-bullet under soil
6 is COPCs that I mentioned earlier. That's the
7 chemical potential concern. And then the next bullet
8 is the New Jersey DEP standard. So it's kind of just
9 showing results in comparison to these two different,
10 essentially, criteria.

11 In terms of COPCs that were identified,
12 there were nine SVOCs, two pesticides, and eight
13 metals. So those will feed into the risk assessment
14 that I mentioned earlier.

15 In terms of the standard -- the
16 compounds that are above the New Jersey DEP
17 standards, you could see that there are
18 semi-volatiles, pesticides, and metals that were
19 above the residential direct contact soil remediation
20 standards. And then semi-volatiles and metals that
21 were above both the non-residential standard, and the
22 impact to groundwater standard for soil.

23 So the next bullet for groundwater, we
24 took a subset of groundwater that was collected since
25 2008 as being representative of groundwater that's on

1 the site today, and no COPCs were identified in
2 groundwater based on that analysis.

3 In terms of the comparison to the DEP
4 standards, there were metals that were above the DEP
5 standards, but those were attributed to background,
6 and that has been -- the DEP has basically concurred
7 with that attribution.

8 Surface water collected since 2010 and
9 no COPCs identified, and no sediment, either.

10 So this slide summarizes the results of
11 the risk assessment that's done. Again, that's a
12 CERCLA risk assessment to require -- to meet the
13 requirements of BRAC.

14 So that assessment is done to provide a
15 quantitative evaluation of the risk associated with
16 exposure to soil. In this case, we didn't look at
17 groundwater, surface water and sediment, because
18 there were no COPCs identified in those media.

19 We evaluated the noncarcinogenic and
20 carcinogenic human health risks to soil for both the
21 outdoor and the recreational user for both the
22 current and the future exposure scenarios, and the
23 results of the assessment showed that the soil at
24 FTMM-04 meets the EPA's acceptable risk ranges.

25 The last bullet on here just summarizes

1 the fact that BEE was conducted for the ecological
2 assessment in 2012, and it concluded that the site is
3 unlikely to have deleterious effects on ecological
4 receptors or habitats, and those conclusions are
5 accepted by the DEP, and that was a site-wide study
6 that was done on all of Fort Monmouth. So --

7 MR. DLUGOSZ: The BEE was?

8 MR. FESHBACH-MERINEY: The BEE, right.

9 This slide summarizes just the --
10 basically the recommendation under the RI: "The
11 nature and extent of site-related contaminants and
12 associated risk to human health have been
13 characterized. But at this point, the full FS is not
14 recommended because the CERCLA risks to human health
15 and the environment are within the acceptable risk
16 ranges for current and future land uses."

17 So, in summary, there's no further
18 action required under CERCLA; however, there are
19 actions that need to be taken to comply with New
20 Jersey requirements. And I've got a series of
21 bullets here that list what those are.

22 First is a vegetated soil cap or cover
23 to be placed over the landfill, there will be
24 implemented institutional controls, or ICs, through
25 the use of an LUC implementation plan and that plan

1 will define the cap extent, the long-term maintenance
2 associated with the cap, it will prevent residential
3 use, and there will have to be a deed notice filed to
4 document those land use controls, and then those land
5 use controls will get biennial reviews to make sure
6 that they are in place. I think that's my last
7 slide.

8 MS. GREEN: You have a question? Just
9 speak into the mike, please.

10 MR. DLUGOSZ: In general, are the
11 CERCLA -- the grouping of standards and criteria that
12 are mentioned in the CERCLA are, in general, less
13 stringent than the DEP standards?

14 MR. FESHBACH-MERINEY: I would answer
15 the question by saying they're just different. I
16 mean, CERCLA allows you to perform a risk assessment
17 that's tailored to the site. So you look at actual
18 anticipated exposure scenarios, and each scenario
19 would have, you know, a set of assumptions that go
20 with it, for exposure to the skin, you know, dermal
21 contact, et cetera.

22 The DEP standards, and maybe Linda can
23 help correct me, they're not designed that way. They
24 are risk-based, but they have a certain set of
25 assumptions that -- that they apply everywhere in

1 terms of exposure.

2 MR. DLUGOSZ: Can you characterize those
3 differences? What those risks are?

4 MR. FESHBACH-MERINEY: I -- I don't
5 think I can do that right here. I'd have to probably
6 consult my risk assessment specialist for that. But
7 that's -- they're different. One is tailored to --
8 the CERCLA process allows you to tailor to the actual
9 risk that occurred at the time of the exposure. The
10 DEP published a set of standards that applies to all
11 the sites, and it might not be as appropriate to one
12 site versus another. But that's the -- that's the
13 number that the DEP uses.

14 MR. DLUGOSZ: When you're saying
15 "sites," are you saying Fort Monmouth as a whole, or
16 the different sites within Fort Monmouth?

17 MR. FESHBACH-MERINEY: I'm saying the
18 sites across the whole entire state of New Jersey.
19 The New Jersey standards apply everywhere.

20 MS. GREEN: Any other questions? Rich.

21 MR. GRUSKOS: On your -- items on, like,
22 Page 13 where it had -- where it says "Vegetated soil
23 cover (cap) will be placed over the landfill." Is
24 this somewhere, like, where it's trees, or no trees?
25 Does it matter in this particular case?

1 MR. FESHBACH-MERINEY: Well, I'm gonna
2 try to answer that. I would guess there are no trees
3 associated with that because you usually don't want
4 trees on a landfill cap. But I --

5 MR. GRUSKOS: Just a point to make
6 related to that. You have this -- where you say
7 "prevent residential use," it's not a question of
8 recreational use? Like, the area can be freely
9 transited and used for other purposes?

10 MR. FESHBACH-MERINEY: Correct. It
11 would be --

12 MR. GRUSKOS: Just no building of
13 residential homes, for example.

14 MR. FESHBACH-MERINEY: Correct. Yes.

15 MR. CHARNICK: What about agricultural
16 uses? That came up at the last meeting. Can someone
17 have a farm there and grow things?

18 MR. FESHBACH-MERINEY: That's not
19 passive open space use in my view, no.

20 MR. BARRICELLI: On Slide 8, your map.

21 MR. FESHBACH-MERINEY: Yes.

22 MR. BARRICELLI: I'm not sure who -- it
23 looks like they revised the boundary of FTMM-04, and
24 it no longer intrudes on North Drive. But I know for
25 a fact that one of those landfills is under North

1 Drive, because there's about a ten-foot patch that
2 goes right across the road. When the pavers were
3 putting that patch in, they tried to dig to stabilize
4 it, and they found bottles and stuff that indicated
5 that the landfill does extend under North Drive,
6 right where the old line was. And that patch is
7 still visible if you drive on North Drive right in
8 that location.

9 MR. DLUGOSZ: At least from my view,
10 FTMM-03 seems to intrude under the -- under the road.

11 MR. BARRICELLI: It's too far away.
12 It's very close to where Wilson Avenue is. So if you
13 come in off Wilson and you go maybe ten, 15 feet,
14 you'll see a patch that goes right across the road
15 surface. And when they put that patch in, they dug
16 down and found bottles and stuff like that.

17 MS. GREEN: Okay.

18 MR. BARRICELLI: And that was brought to
19 them. The site -- they properly handled -- when they
20 found the trash, they brought it to the site that you
21 guys had over on the Charles Wood area. So -- I'm
22 not too sure about that, but that's a change they
23 made. That's important to FMERA. If they put a
24 road -- because I think there's a road laying right
25 through that area.

1 MR. DLUGOSZ: This happens to be the
2 Eatontown section, also, so I appreciate that
3 observation.

4 MR. GRUSKOS: I have one other question
5 concerning, like, the permitted cover. Since
6 there's, like, a stream running through this, is
7 there a permitted amount of, like, stream banks --
8 excuse me -- stream bank stabilization or stream
9 corridors? Is there any planting allowed besides
10 grass that might be deemed, like, stream-corridor
11 suitable?

12 MR. FESHBACH-MERINEY: I'm not quite
13 sure -- what are you saying, would we stabilize the
14 stream?

15 MS. GREEN: There's already a stream
16 bank stabilization for M-4.

17 MR. GRUSKOS: And that would be left in
18 place?

19 MS. GREEN: Yes. Yes.

20 MR. GRUSKOS: And then the other thing
21 was: Plantings of sorts along the stream. Are any
22 allowed, or is it something -- or is it just, like, a
23 graded, grassy surface?

24 MR. FESHBACH-MERINEY: Well, you're
25 getting into details that I can't answer at this

1 point. I don't know.

2 MS. GREEN: Yeah. And that's what we
3 discussed in the last meeting when we had the
4 landfill presentation. As we are going into each
5 phase for the landfill cover, there will be
6 presentations here that will further explain to you
7 the design in detail as to what we are planning for
8 each landfill.

9 As he stated in the landfill
10 presentation at the last meeting, every landfill will
11 be looked at individually. The proper grading for
12 whatever material -- when they put the two feet of
13 topsoil on it, it will be calculated properly, and as
14 far as the -- the cover, there will be seeding that
15 will be placed. We -- we aren't planning to plant
16 anything, we're just planning to put seeding and let
17 nature take its course like it did in the past.

18 MR. DLUGOSZ: Will it be native
19 plantings or will it be grass? Because we know that
20 on FM-3, for example, they did a good job of
21 planting.

22 MS. GREEN: Well, and that's -- and I
23 discussed in the last meeting -- well, I didn't
24 discuss it with you, it was with another group. But
25 I've been telling everyone and what I will explain to

1 you is that you will have an opportunity, as the
2 public, to give your input for what you would want
3 for the landfill cover. So there will be a
4 presentation given to you to explain what is planned,
5 and then you will have your -- your opportunity to,
6 you know, give your opinion of what you feel.

7 MR. GRUSKOS: Okay. The reason I'm
8 asking is I had -- outside of the scope of the
9 meetings, I had asked someone at the DEP to explain
10 to me the areas immediately along shorelines. And
11 the answer that kind of came back was is if the
12 landfill is far enough back from the stabilized
13 shoreline, plantings could be allowed in that
14 immediate shore area that might be trees or something
15 like that. But it depends on how the broad brush is
16 used in defining the landfills.

17 So it's almost like you could imagine
18 the areas of concern, the inner lands will be back 20
19 feet rather than going right to the edge of a river
20 or stream; you would have more flexibility in that 20
21 feet. So I'm sort of interested, as these plans are
22 presented, looking for opportunities for more
23 significant plantings along immediate streams, if
24 possible.

25 MS. GREEN: All right.

1 MR. BARRICELLI: Okay. Another question
2 about this vegetation. How badly was it disturbed
3 when they did the riprap? Is there still enough left
4 of what you had accomplished in the prior years
5 letting vegetation grow? You had high-grass meadows,
6 you had full woods. Is there enough of that left so
7 we could get somebody from our towns in, let's say,
8 Shade Tree type people? Because, you know, I'm just
9 one person, he's one person, and we may -- would like
10 to get some other people --

11 MR. DLUGOSZ: From Oceanport.

12 MR. BARRICELLI: Yeah, get people from
13 Eatontown primarily, because both Eatontown and
14 Oceanport have their landfills there. And have a
15 tour for people so they could see what -- what you
16 did originally so they'll have a better point to
17 discuss this at. Because right now, we know what it
18 was, and I think it was a good approach, and I'd like
19 them to make their own decision.

20 MS. GREEN: Okay. The stabilization
21 will not be disturbed. The grass, the trees and all
22 that have grown from -- and there are several bushes.
23 There's a lot of vegetation growing along the
24 stabilization and we knew that was gonna happen and
25 had plans for that. So if your -- your question is

1 whether or not you can have a tour, just put in that
2 request.

3 MR. BARRICELLI: Okay. Yeah, because I
4 think both Eatontown and Oceanport should get more
5 than just us involved --

6 MR. DLUGOSZ: Yeah.

7 MR. BARRICELLI: -- to look at
8 vegetation. Parks-and-rec-type people to see what
9 they think.

10 MS. GREEN: Okay.

11 MR. GRUSKOS: You know, and I had
12 broached that subject with several folks and the
13 basic idea was to wait until the fall till the
14 foliage has dropped, because you'll get a better view
15 sometimes with the leaves down or whatever. But I
16 thank you for that.

17 MS. GREEN: Well, in the fall you don't
18 have as much of a problem because you could see
19 everything from the road.

20 Okay. Any other questions?

21 MR. GRUSKOS: I have one for Linda. If
22 you'd wear your DEP hat for a second, how do you read
23 these things, just as far as the presentation? Do
24 you have anything just from your viewpoint that you'd
25 like to just comment on it?

1 MS. RANGE: Well, I have reviewed it.
2 I'm -- I'm waiting to hear back from a couple of
3 other bureaus before I complete my comments, but
4 overall, I've actually got very little comment to
5 generate from the report. There were a lot of
6 samples collected from the surface material. Well
7 over the amount we would have required by today's
8 standards as far as characterizing the surface. So
9 it -- it provided answers to a lot of our questions.

10 MR. DLUGOSZ: I'm -- I'm -- I heard you
11 say that there were multiple drillings, and to
12 characterize earlier -- in an earlier event, there
13 was some trenching done to help define the -- the
14 boundaries of -- of the lands. Were there any new
15 trenching done since that period of time?

16 MR. FESHBACH-MERINEY: Not since 2008,
17 no.

18 MS. GREEN: No.

19 MR. DLUGOSZ: Thank you.

20 MS. GREEN: And, Rich, just to expound a
21 little on your comment. What we're trying to do is
22 before a document is published, we are trying to keep
23 in touch with the state during the process as we are
24 forming our drafts, we try to stay in touch with
25 Linda, ask her questions, to make sure we're on the

1 right path. Because we don't want to put out a
2 document and Linda just say, No, this is a bunch of
3 junk, we don't want this. So we do stay in touch
4 with the state so that when the -- the report is
5 complete, it should be what the state is actually
6 looking for and expecting from us.

7 All right. Thank you, Paul.

8 MR. FESHBACH-MERINEY: Thank you.

9 MS. GREEN: Next, we have Rich, who will
10 be giving the presentation on the Fort Monmouth ECP
11 addendum. The unregulated heating oil tank called
12 UHOT.

13 MR. SIMUN: Okay. Good evening,
14 everyone.

15 All right. As Wanda said, my name is
16 Rich. I'm an employee with Parsons. I will be
17 presenting on the Addendum 1 ECP Report - Unregulated
18 Heating Oil Tank Investigation Report. Next slide,
19 please.

20 This slide is a brief overview of the
21 presentation. It includes an introduction; the
22 objective of the Addendum 1 report; provides a
23 definition of UHOT and underground storage tank;
24 provides a brief background/timeline of events;
25 presents our technical approach to determine the

1 UHOTs potentially present at Fort Monmouth; and
2 provides an overview of the UHOT probability rankings
3 that were developed by Fort Monmouth; and it
4 concludes with a summary of the findings. Next
5 slide, please.

6 Okay. The Addendum 1 ECP - UHOT
7 Investigation Report is an addendum to Section 5.4,
8 petroleum and petroleum products of the January 2007
9 U.S. Army BRAC 2005 ECP report for Fort Monmouth.
10 The information contained in the Addendum 1 ECP
11 report is the additional data that became available
12 after the completion of the 2007 ECP report and the
13 2008 site investigation report.

14 Then, in 2008, the New Jersey Department
15 of Environmental Protection had issued comments which
16 required Fort Monmouth to further investigate USTs
17 that were later determined to be UHOTs on ECP parcels
18 14, 28, 51, 76 and 79. Next slide, please.

19 Okay. These are the objectives of the
20 Addendum 1 UHOT Investigation Report. The first
21 objective is to present a summary of existing
22 information related to UHOTs at Fort Monmouth. The
23 second objective is to identify potential locations
24 and quantities of UHOTs that potentially exist at the
25 fort. Next slide, please.

1 This slide presents the definition of a
2 UHOT and a UST. So the definition of "underground
3 storage tank" means "Any one or a combination of
4 tanks as set forth in N.J.A.C. 7:14B-1.4, which is
5 the petroleum storage tank rules. It includes
6 appurtenant pipes, lines, fixtures, and other related
7 equipment used to contain an accumulation of
8 hazardous substances, the volume of which, including
9 the volume of the appurtenant pipes, lines, fixtures
10 and other related equipment, is 10 percent or more
11 beneath the surface of the ground."

12 The definition of an "unregulated
13 heating oil tank system" as defined by the New Jersey
14 DEP means "Any one or a combination of tanks,
15 including appurtenant pipes, lines, fixtures, and
16 other related equipment used to contain an
17 accumulation of heating oil for on-site consumption
18 in a residential building, or those tanks with a
19 capacity of 2,000 gallons or less used to store
20 heating oil for on-site consumption in a
21 non-residential building, the volume of which,
22 including the volume of the appurtenant pipes, lines,
23 fixtures, and other related equipment, is 10 percent
24 or more below the ground."

25 So the Army began using the term "UHOTs"

1 to refer to the installations USTs used for heating
2 oil purposes after the completion of the SI in 2008.
3 Next slide, please.

4 These slides, we're gonna go through a
5 brief background timeline of events starting
6 pre-1990, where the primary fuels used throughout the
7 history of Fort Monmouth had been coal, fuel, oil,
8 diesel, and gasoline. And until the early 1990s, the
9 primary method of heating at Fort Monmouth had been
10 through the use of heating oil.

11 So the majority of structures at Fort
12 Monmouth are heated by furnaces that were fired by
13 fuel oil stored in UHOTs for that individual
14 building.

15 And from the 1940s through the 1980s,
16 Fort Monmouth utilized UHOTs and aboveground storage
17 tanks as the primary fuel-storage method for heating
18 oil.

19 Fuels were brought in by railcar, staged
20 in two large ASTs prior to being transported to the
21 individual UHOTs. Next slide, please.

22 From 1990 to present: In the early
23 1990s, Fort Monmouth developed a program for managing
24 approximately 474 active USTs throughout the
25 installation. That includes the Main Post and

1 Charles Wood area. The tank program included UHOTs,
2 USTs and ASTs.

3 UHOTs were removed when buildings were
4 being converted to natural gas, and closure reports
5 were prepared when UHOTs were removed. The closure
6 reports were further submitted to NJDEP if evidence
7 of a release was observed.

8 Between 2003 and 2005, Enviroscan
9 performed geophysical surveys for the Army Department
10 of Public Works to detect and delineate possible
11 UHOTs and construction debris at the 200, 400, 700,
12 and 800 areas. The Phase I ECP was conducted between
13 2006 and 2007 at Fort Monmouth and the results were
14 documented in the January 2007 final ECP report.
15 Next slide, please.

16 By 2007, 371 UHOTs were removed and
17 three UHOTs were abandoned in place. At that time,
18 SI, which is site investigation, activities conducted
19 by Shaw in late 2007 were performed to address
20 recommendations made as part of the Phase I ECP. SI
21 geophysical surveys, also performed by Enviroscan,
22 were carried out to identify the absence or presence
23 of UHOTs at ECP parcels 13, 14, 15, 27, 28, 51, 76
24 and 79. The geophysical survey results along with
25 other outside sampling activities were documented in

1 the July 2008 final SI report prepared by Shaw.

2 Following 2008, Fort Monmouth and the
3 DPW developed a Microsoft Access database that was
4 the synthesis of real property cards for existing and
5 demolished structures; also included available maps
6 and diagrams. So that information was compiled
7 together, and using that information, the following
8 terminology was developed for the database, which
9 included suspected UHOTs, which is an object that may
10 be a UHOT based on field observations; a potential
11 UHOT, which is an object that, based on a review of
12 maps, real property records, and aerial photographs,
13 shows a strong degree of being a UHOT at a given
14 location. Next slide, please.

15 Then in October 2008, that's when the
16 DEP issued comments on the SI report which required
17 further investigations for suspected UHOTs identified
18 during those geophysical surveys at the ECP parcels.

19 Then in April 2009, a letter to the
20 NJDEP at Fort Monmouth had indicated that further
21 assessment and delineation of the UHOT discrepancies
22 were required for the parcels identified in the ECP.

23 Between 2008 and 2010, prior geophysical
24 data for ECP parcels 13 14, 15, 27, 28, 51, 76, and
25 79, and the 200, 400, 700, and 800 areas, only

1 through 2003 through 2005, were reanalyzed using
2 updated software, which indicated that several
3 previously detected electromagnetic anomalies had
4 sufficient characteristics to be UHOTs. So,
5 following the reprocessing of that data, additional
6 geophysical survey field activities were performed at
7 various locations between 2007 and 2010 and concluded
8 that those EM anomalies could be suspected UHOTs.
9 Next slide, please.

10 Concurrently as that data was being
11 reprocessed, the Fort Monmouth DPW compiled and
12 georeferenced historical information which included
13 the real property records, aerial photographs, and
14 historical site maps concerning the location of fuel
15 oil tanks on Fort Monmouth and compared it to the
16 results of Enviroscan's geophysical surveys, which
17 revealed a need to further refine the geophysical
18 methods.

19 They also conducted field verification
20 activities between 2008 and 2011 at locations where
21 suspected UHOTs were identified based on the
22 geophysical surveys. Those field verification
23 activities included actual test-pitting to physically
24 identify if a tank was present or not. So, under the
25 direction of the Fort Monmouth Garrison, they removed

1 three previously abandoned UHOTs, and 25 UHOTs were
2 identified during the Fort Monmouth field
3 verification activities and subsequently removed.

4 Closure reports for those 25 UHOTs were
5 submitted to DEP in March 2012, and requests for No
6 Further Action determinations were requested where
7 appropriate. Next slide, please.

8 That gets us to current, which is the --
9 this presents the technical approach that we use to
10 determine the amount of UHOTs potentially present at
11 FTMM. So this basically is our rationale for what we
12 used in the ECP addendum report to quantify the
13 amount of UHOTs that could potentially remain at the
14 installation. So it included a review of existing
15 UHOT data to determine how many UHOTs were removed
16 from the Main Post and the Charles Wood area.

17 The existing data included real property
18 records for existing and demolished buildings; UST
19 closure reports prepared by Fort Monmouth between
20 1990 and 2012; review of NJDEP NFA letters in Fort
21 Monmouth files to determine how many UHOT removals
22 were granted No Further Action approval from NJDEP; a
23 review of prior geophysical survey reports prepared
24 by Envirosan to quantify the amount of
25 electromagnetic anomalies on ECP parcels 13, 14, 15,

1 27, 28, 51, 76, and 79, plus the other areas that
2 could potentially correspond to the locations of
3 UHOTs; and the results of field verification
4 activities that were performed by Fort Monmouth in
5 parcels 14, 28, 51, 76, and 79.

6 So that information was compiled into
7 Table 1 of the Phase I ECP report, which is a summary
8 of the information that we indicated on the slide.
9 Next slide, please.

10 Okay. So we used the UHOT probability
11 and geophysical data that was provided to estimate
12 how many UHOTs may remain at Fort Monmouth. The
13 probability determinations were based on the data
14 obtained from those records for the existing and
15 demolished buildings and closure reports.

16 Real property records for existing and
17 demolished structures indicate the heating system
18 type, whether it be no heat, coal heat, oil heat, or
19 gas heat, and it also included identification numbers
20 and removal dates of known UHOTs.

21 Closure reports and No Further Action
22 letters indicate tank identification numbers and tank
23 construction material, whether it be steel or
24 fiberglass.

25 And the estimated amount of UHOTs that

1 remain at Fort Monmouth is equivalent to the sum of
2 those high- and low-probability determinations which
3 are depicted on Figures 2 and 3 of the UHOT addendum
4 report. Next slide, please.

5 Okay. This is a little more detail on
6 what the UHOT probability rankings are, or defines
7 the UHOT probability.

8 So we have high-probability sites, where
9 if a fiberglass tank was pulled and the building type
10 heat was oil heat, no heat, or unknown, or if the
11 building heating type was oil heat and no UHOTs were
12 pulled, or if a fiberglass tank was pulled and the
13 building heating type was oil heat, no oil heat,
14 unknown, or converted from electric to coal, then a
15 potential steel UHOT could be present.

16 Low-probability sites were sites if a
17 steel tank was pulled and the building heating type
18 was no oil heat or unknown, or if the building
19 heating type was no oil heat or unknown.

20 Then we also had another set of sites
21 which are considered No Further Action sites, which
22 are sites where a tank is unlikely to be present.
23 And these include sites if a steel tank and
24 fiberglass tank were pulled and the building heating
25 type was oil heat, no heat, or unknown, or the site

1 of a potential UHOT was assessed, excavated and an
2 UHOT was found and removed. Next slide, please.

3 Now, this brings us to our summary of
4 findings of the UHOT report. So the first table is a
5 summary of the number of tanks previously removed
6 prior to 2012. It includes both the Main Post and
7 Charles Wood area.

8 So, based on our review of records, we
9 determined that a total of 399 UHOTs were removed.
10 Of those 399 UHOTs, closure reports for 262 were
11 submitted to DEP. Of the closure reports submitted
12 to DEP, 195 of those we were able to locate No
13 Further Action approval letters for. And then the
14 last column is number of removals not requiring
15 closure reports. And those were UHOT locations where
16 no observed discharges or leaks were observed by the
17 Fort.

18 And of the potential UHOTs remaining, it
19 includes 376 existing and demolished building
20 locations at the Main Post and Charles Wood area that
21 had a low or high probability of having associated
22 unresolved UST.

23 There's also one UHOT previously
24 discovered by Fort Monmouth DPW on the 1-3 Allen
25 Avenue property, which is in the parcel 76, 200 area

1 that was not removed due to structural concerns of a
2 nearby building. And the results of the geophysical
3 activities identified 73 electromagnetic anomalies on
4 those ECP parcels 13, 14, 15, 27, 28, 51, 76, and 79,
5 plus the 200, 400, 700, and 800 areas. But although
6 Enviroscan characterized 61 of those anomalies as
7 possible UHOTs and 12 as suspected UHOTs, no
8 additional work was conducted to confirm those
9 categories. Next slide, please.

10 In closing, the UHOT ECP report was
11 compiled and was submitted for DEP review in July of
12 2014, and that's the end of the presentation.

13 MR. DLUGOSZ: On that summary of
14 findings --

15 MS. GREEN: Wait a minute.

16 MR. SIMUN: In the meantime, I'll just
17 add one additional detail: That those high- and
18 low-probability determinations, they're depicted on
19 Figures 2 and 3 of the ECP report. So if there's a
20 question on the locations or what the building sites
21 were, that corresponds to those locations in the ECP
22 report.

23 MR. DLUGOSZ: The second line of the --
24 or the first line of the potential UHOTs remaining on
25 the Summary of Findings, the 376 existing and

1 demolished buildings that have a low or high
2 probability, how many -- based on everything you've
3 done, how many UHOTs are undiscovered or un-removed
4 remaining? You know, with the terminology, I can't
5 really make a determination, who's on first and
6 what's -- what's left.

7 MR. SIMUN: Yeah, we believe there are
8 376 building locations with the potential of having a
9 UHOT present.

10 MR. DLUGOSZ: So there could be up to --
11 up to 376 UHOTs remaining?

12 MR. SIMUN: Based on the information
13 available at this point, there is a potential for
14 376.

15 MS. GREEN: Anyone else?

16 MR. DLUGOSZ: With your -- your study
17 and your plan, over what period of time will those be
18 determined if they are true UHOTs or -- and removed
19 and, you know, which ones are not? What's the
20 overall lifespan of what's remaining?

21 MS. GREEN: I can answer that.
22 According to our BRAC legal office, we're not
23 required to remove the UHOTs in accordance with
24 CERCLA law. So it is not the intent of the ACSIM --
25 the Army, I should say, to remove or investigate the

1 UHOTs.

2 MR. DLUGOSZ: If -- if I had a UHOT in
3 my yard and DEP found that it was there, they would
4 make me remove it. Is that not true?

5 MS. RANGE: If there was no reason to
6 believe it was leaking?

7 MR. DLUGOSZ: Well, the assumption was
8 that it was leaking.

9 MS. RANGE: If we know of a discharge,
10 then you are required to address that discharge.

11 MR. DLUGOSZ: With -- with the analogy
12 of the potential of 376 tanks there, do you have --
13 does anybody have a -- a reason why they shouldn't be
14 studied or drilled or whatever, to find whether they
15 were leaking, or are we just saying that we're not
16 even gonna look at these any further?

17 MS. GREEN: Correct. That is what our
18 legal office is saying, because we're not required to
19 investigate. The state -- if we knew of a tank that
20 was leaking and there was potential contamination,
21 then, yes, we would be required to investigate and do
22 proper removal, just as we did with other sites. But
23 because they are UHOT, and according to CERCLA law,
24 we're not required to perform any type of
25 investigation.

1 MR. DLUGOSZ: So there could be a
2 potential of all 376 leaking, and you're saying you
3 don't have to do any investigation to see if they --
4 if that's the case?

5 MS. GREEN: Correct.

6 MR. BARRICELLI: May I?

7 When I read that, I kind of got excited.
8 I said, I don't know what -- well, NJDEP says there's
9 not evidence of leaking, they don't have to go after
10 them. So the question, then, I guess, goes to our
11 two members who are on the FMERA Environmental
12 Advisory Committee to ask FMERA what they're gonna
13 do. Because if they're gonna inherit this property
14 or try and get this property from the Army, then
15 they've got to tell --

16 MR. DLUGOSZ: She's a member of that,
17 too.

18 MR. BARRICELLI: Okay. They've got to
19 tell potential bidders what may or may not be there.
20 And -- and then I would hope FMERA in their wisdom
21 would include that saying, If there is a tank buried,
22 you must remove it as part of the redevelopment. I
23 see no reason why to leave 370 -- potentially 376 old
24 tanks in the ground when you're gonna have big
25 bulldozers redeveloping the post anyway.

1 So that's really not -- if this is what
2 CERCLA says, then as a RAB, you know, we don't deal
3 with it, you as FMERA EAC people deal with FMERA on
4 this issue. Is that a fair assumption?

5 MR. GRUSKOS: It's hard -- it's hard to
6 speak -- just from what I've seen so far, it's hard
7 with the Environmental Advisory Committee. Because
8 in that situation, FMERA may not want to take
9 ownership of certain pieces of properties with --
10 with problems, right.

11 The other things are -- the alternatives
12 are often, like, a potential buyer would use this in
13 negotiation as to the selling price of a particular
14 piece of property, and in some cases, they may find
15 they might just try to negotiate a lower price with
16 these done. So they have their own due diligence
17 that they go through.

18 And my only question, I guess, for Wanda
19 would be -- and for Parsons is, the locations of
20 these 376, is that just the detail of something they
21 would have access to during their due diligence?

22 MS. GREEN: Yes. It's in the actual
23 report, and you have it on the discs that were
24 distributed.

25 MR. GRUSKOS: Right. But I'm saying, a

1 potential buyer would have access to that?

2 MS. GREEN: Yes, because it's on the
3 website, and I'm sure when they go to FMERA and get
4 any type of information from them, that is what they
5 would get. But it is part of the public record.

6 MR. GRUSKOS: It's part of the public
7 record, so they're going in eyes wide open.

8 MS. GREEN: Correct.

9 MR. GRUSKOS: Okay. And I think the
10 other issue is if something is found. Now -- and I
11 have a question for Ed as it relates to the
12 individual towns as things move forward. I'm not
13 sure how Oceanport/Eatontown deal with the issue of
14 tanks abandoned in place without actually being
15 abandoned properly.

16 Now, I had fuel oil tank at my house, I
17 had to take it out. They wouldn't let you abandon it
18 in place. The soil had to be tested and all these
19 other things.

20 MR. DLUGOSZ: Absolutely.

21 MR. GRUSKOS: So that there's part of
22 this thing, I think, that goes maybe beyond the --
23 FMERA's activity into the individual towns, you know,
24 but I do think there's a chance where potential
25 bidders, knowing this, would try to negotiate a lower

1 price saying, I'll take care of it. I'm buying it as
2 is. You know, with that -- with that in mind. I'm
3 not saying that's a wise decision, it's just these
4 are just tasks that might come up.

5 MR. DLUGOSZ: I know that -- that
6 Eatontown doesn't -- doesn't smile or they do frown
7 upon leaving things abandoned in place as a -- as a
8 rule, so that part of it is true. But this situation
9 is maybe complicated a little bit more, as you
10 remember from our FMERA meetings most recently.
11 There's a plot afoot for the Army -- I mean, for the
12 state through the FMERA offices to purchase all of
13 Fort Monmouth as a whole and then, you know, the Army
14 can sign off. But there's -- you know, there's this
15 kind of issue that seems to me that -- you know, that
16 is an unwise thing to do, you know, if we -- if we go
17 that -- if FMERA and the state go that route.

18 MR. GRUSKOS: I basically would suggest
19 that Ed, Linda, and I bring this up in the next FMERA
20 meeting, Environmental Advisory, next Monday, just to
21 raise it as a topic.

22 MS. GREEN: Okay.

23 MR. DLUGOSZ: We -- we had this issue
24 back in 2008-2009 when we found, I think, the site
25 survey with the -- some of the echoes and -- and the,

1 what do you call it, magnetic findings. There were
2 22 USTs found, and the -- again, CERCLA, and I guess
3 it was the BRAC administrator said that they could be
4 left in the ground, and that was overturned by
5 popular -- there was actually more. I think they did
6 more than they said they would or found more than
7 they originally...

8 MS. GREEN: There was originally 24 and
9 we found 25 and it was removed under IMCOM because
10 the Fort Monmouth Garrison also wanted to remove the
11 tanks. The BRAC -- BRAC wasn't really -- BRAC didn't
12 really have authority over the installation until
13 after September 15, 2011. So the IM-COM Commander
14 said that he would go ahead and do that removal, so
15 that's how that happened.

16 MR. DLUGOSZ: Well, I -- I think it was
17 wise, and this determination seems counter-productive
18 to selling the sites.

19 MR. GRUSKOS: Selling the sites to
20 obtain the best return for the Army. It would pay
21 for them to sell properties with, you know, no
22 encumbrances of any kind.

23 And I just have a question for Linda.
24 So within DEP regulations, like presented with this
25 material where there might be this number, how does

1 that relate to your -- how do you read that? I hate
2 to do this, but you're my stereotype for --

3 MS. RANGE: Obviously, it's not the
4 ideal for us, but I understand. BRAC follows CERCLA,
5 CERCLA does not regulate UHOTS. They have no choice
6 under BRAC funding, they do not have funds to deal
7 with the investigation of the UHOTS, and we do not
8 have the regs to enforce them to do anything when
9 they give us -- when there is no evidence of
10 discharge. If there was evidence of discharge, that
11 would be a different story. But we can hope that
12 this 376 is a very pessimistic number. Maybe there's
13 very few tanks there. This is just a worst case
14 scenario.

15 MS. GREEN: And I want to speak on how
16 the numbers actually came about. Just to give you a
17 brief history: What happened was when we were
18 investigating Site 79, which was in the 400 area,
19 that was based on ECP Phase I, what they thought was
20 there. The 400 area, 200, 700, and 800 areas had
21 previously been investigated by Enviroscan.
22 Geophysical surveys were performed. What we found --
23 when we looked at the 400 area, where they said that
24 there were potential tanks, there wasn't. They had
25 false positives and false negatives. So that made

1 us -- folks who were in -- actually, the UST program
2 managers did a little further investigation
3 themselves.

4 We went and looked at the previous
5 reports that were performed in the 700, 800 area.
6 Those reports were done because before BRAC, we had a
7 program called the RCI, Residential Community
8 Initiative that -- big plans that they had for Fort
9 Monmouth, then we were BRAC and all of that was put
10 aside, but we still had the reports.

11 In those reports the Enviroskan report
12 showed areas where we believed that there were USTs
13 based on an old map. This map was not part of the
14 ECP. It was back in 1940, or something like that,
15 where it showed more barracks than any of the other
16 maps that we had on file. So that started an
17 investigation.

18 Then we had what's called "white cards."
19 A white card is a -- a brief description of every
20 building that was ever built on Fort Monmouth. This
21 was in our master planning. It's a -- it was a whole
22 catalog and it's literally white cards, it wasn't any
23 electronic information that we had. We had someone,
24 and it took him about a year, go through every single
25 white card. He had to look at what the heating

1 source was for the building, what year it was built.
2 If it was a heating source that was just coal, and
3 then they demolished the building, then that wasn't
4 put as a probability.

5 But what we found was that there was
6 several areas on this map that wasn't previously
7 identified where there used to be barracks. There
8 were barracks in several locations throughout Fort
9 Monmouth. When we have -- we when we look at our
10 records of where we removed tanks, we found that the
11 records show that we removed steel -- I mean,
12 fiberglass tanks. What we found was that there was
13 actually steel tanks at the same location. So we can
14 say Building -- well, the building we're in, Building
15 455, for example. We removed the tanks at 455.

16 So when I go to look at Building 455, I
17 say, Oh, the tanks have been removed. But in this
18 further investigation, what they found is that, yeah,
19 there were fiberglass tanks removed. But when they
20 installed tanks, they installed steel tanks. And
21 sometimes they may have installed a steel tank -- a
22 fiberglass tank right next to -- well, not right next
23 to, but in close proximity of the steel tank. So
24 what we found was that we have a record of the
25 fiberglass tank, but because of the age of the

1 building, there should have been a steel tank removal
2 performed also.

3 So that -- those types of probabilities
4 were high probabilities, and that's what they list
5 there. So that's why the potential was based on the
6 old maps that we found. We found a couple of old
7 maps. It was based on the white cards, and also the
8 problem we had with Enviroscan with them giving us so
9 many false positives and false negatives. What the
10 folks at the time did was they just took a regular
11 metal detector. They went out and found tanks that
12 Enviroscan said wasn't there because of the anomalies
13 that they were identifying due to the size of
14 whatever anomaly there was. They would say there was
15 a tank when there was -- there was a tank and it
16 wasn't.

17 And then what they told us was the
18 problem was that because we had -- there might be a
19 concrete foundation that -- that, you know, showed
20 something that wasn't, or because of utilities that
21 were underground. So we had a lot of problems with
22 what Enviroscan was telling us in the first place.
23 So that's why we took all of this information and put
24 it together.

25 When we initially started this UHOT

1 addendum, we had someone to input all of this
2 information where the maps that you see, you could
3 click on that map and that will take you to how we
4 came to that probability. In two thousand --
5 September 15th, 2011, we found that someone -- well,
6 I shouldn't say "someone." Strike that.

7 Something happened, and I told you this
8 before, to one of our major drives, and it wiped out
9 everything. We had this in draft form, some of this
10 information, and we've put it all back together.
11 Parsons put it back together and have given us maps.
12 I can't find those maps. We still have the white
13 card information. Some of the other information that
14 we used to build the probability for these sites we
15 don't have any more. So yes, there has been 376, and
16 that does include Charles Wood potential sites there.
17 Whether or not it's there or not, you have to look at
18 the probability cause that was made for that
19 particular site. Does that make sense?

20 Any other questions? Ed, you had
21 another question?

22 MR. DLUGOSZ: No, I was just thinking,
23 you know -- it seems sort of a loss of -- assuming
24 that there's no pollution, without observing whether
25 there's any leakage, you know, just like the

1 landfills, you did borings. It would seem to me
2 prudent to do borings in the most probable or the
3 most potential ones to determine, you know,
4 whether -- whether your assumptions are correct. I
5 think 376, or even half of that, is a huge number
6 and, you know, not -- not testing it is sort of a
7 dereliction of duty in some ways.

8 MR. GRUSKOS: This would be very
9 interesting to see what FMERA reads out of this does,
10 you know, next week.

11 This is complete hearsay, but someone
12 that I knew that did the scan study, they used to
13 have all sorts of problems with sunspot activity,
14 which was terrible. There were certain days you just
15 couldn't work because of false positives and such.
16 It just became, like, an extraterrestrial event. It
17 just made it impossible to work some days. It was
18 crazy.

19 MR. DLUGOSZ: The Enviroscan, was
20 that -- was that the downward-pointing radar, or was
21 that the, you know, super -- super metal detector? I
22 can't remember which.

23 MS. GREEN: Yeah, I think they actually
24 have it in here. It was an electro -- EM -- yeah,
25 electromagnetic.

1 MR. DLUGOSZ: They also, I recall, had
2 radar -- downward-pointing radar that helped with
3 that scan.

4 MR. CHARNICK: Yeah, ground-penetrating
5 radar.

6 MR. DLUGOSZ: Ground-penetrating radar.

7 MS. GREEN: When we brought them back,
8 they actually tested the 400 area three times and
9 still -- we didn't tell them what we found when we
10 just used the metal detector, and they still got it
11 wrong. So that's why we couldn't -- we decided not
12 to just go by what Enviroscan reported and told us in
13 the past, and did further investigation.

14 Anyone else?

15 MR. CHARNICK: Nope.

16 MS. GREEN: Okay. Just for the RFP
17 status, we are continuing, as I said in the last
18 meeting, we have Public Health Command, who is
19 looking at the risk assessment for the site -- oh,
20 and thank you, Paul and Rich.

21 We're looking at -- we're -- we have our
22 reports now under review by the Public Health
23 Command. I do not have another RI/FS available at
24 this time. When -- once the next one is finalized, I
25 will be getting that to you.

1 Roundtable discussion. Does anyone have
2 anything they would like to discuss at roundtable?

3 MR. BARRICELLI: Okay. I brought up the
4 subject of getting some of our people from our towns
5 to look at the current state of the landfills. How
6 are we gonna do that? Should we -- because we want
7 it done once the foliage gets done, you know.

8 MS. GREEN: Send an email to me
9 requesting that.

10 MR. BARRICELLI: Okay. And I'll copy
11 everybody. Because I want to get all three towns
12 involved with this so we, you know, kind of can go
13 forward together hand-in-hand, as opposed to
14 squabbling.

15 MS. GREEN: Yeah, we're a good ways from
16 that --

17 MR. BARRICELLI: Yeah.

18 MS. GREEN: -- so --

19 MR. BARRICELLI: Yeah. But it's
20 something that you have to get the right people to
21 show up and realize this is for real, that they're
22 gonna have to have input this time as -- each town is
23 gonna have that input.

24 MS. GREEN: Okay. So just send me the
25 request.

1 MR. BARRICELLI: Okay. Will do.

2 MR. DLUGOSZ: The other item is, maybe
3 not at the last FMERA environmental meeting but the
4 one before, they were looking at somehow apportioning
5 some properties in different ways. For example, the
6 FTM-8 site and the buildings that surround Squire
7 Hall, Building 284, or whatever the numbers are,
8 trying to -- to either include or not include the M-8
9 in that parcel.

10 MS. GREEN: M-18?

11 MR. DLUGOSZ: No. M-18 is the landfill
12 behind --

13 MS. GREEN: M-18 is behind Squire.

14 MR. DLUGOSZ: Yes, M-18 is behind
15 Squire --

16 MS. GREEN: Right.

17 MR. DLUGOSZ: -- M-8 is alongside it.
18 They were talking about doing all three as one
19 parcel.

20 MS. GREEN: Then they're skipping over
21 M-3?

22 MR. DLUGOSZ: Yeah, but they -- they
23 were trying to package or repackage things, and I
24 felt that M-8, for example, was one of the harder
25 sites to redevelop at the present time, with its

1 irregular topography, if you will. So I think it
2 would be a great -- great thing to invite -- invite
3 those guys to see what we've been talking about on
4 those.

5 MS. GREEN: Any requests you have for
6 any type of tours, you can send it to me. List who
7 you would want on the tour, the purpose of your tour,
8 when you would like to do this tour, and then I'll
9 just send it up.

10 MR. DLUGOSZ: Frank, why don't you send
11 me a draft of what you're doing before you send it
12 out. Okay?

13 MR. BARRICELLI: Will do.

14 MS. GREEN: Anyone else?

15 MR. DLUGOSZ: I have one.

16 Frank, during your presentation last
17 time, I did not see any -- any readings or values
18 associated with -- with, you know, the levels of
19 contamination for some of the ones that you
20 mentioned. Were there levels taken in the stream
21 sediment, surface water, or even the groundwater at
22 the sites? I didn't see any of that available in
23 your briefing after I looked at it.

24 MS. GREEN: Which briefing are you
25 speaking of?

1 MR. DLUGOSZ: The Metallurgical
2 Industries' site that Frank provided.

3 MR. BARRICELLI: Most of the data was --
4 in the files was related to the cleanup activities.
5 I couldn't find anything -- at least I didn't see any
6 visible data, readily visible -- because I had just
7 one day and we had boxes galore -- that was actual
8 measurements in the stream during the points at which
9 the stuff was actually being dumped. That was not
10 ready visible. Only -- only the follow-on testing
11 done to -- when they were cleaning soil. So, you
12 know, I was looking for that data, and I could not
13 find it.

14 Again, I had one day to go through four
15 boxes, so I couldn't -- I didn't see anything.

16 MR. DLUGOSZ: And the other question
17 was: Without that kind of data, which, of course, I
18 was looking for in yours and -- and so forth --

19 MR. BARRICELLI: Yeah.

20 MR. DLUGOSZ: -- I noticed that, you
21 know, most of this is anecdotal in -- in nature. I
22 have no doubt -- no doubt that, you know, what you're
23 saying here, when you said it, was -- was accurate.
24 But, you know, with -- with the anecdotal, I was
25 wondering whether this was an interpretation or -- or

1 a summary of what was there, or whether the words in
2 some of these paragraphs were verbatim from what was
3 in the boxes?

4 MR. BARRICELLI: Okay. All this
5 stuff -- I brought a scanner with me I didn't know
6 how to use because I just bought it that day
7 and -- so there's very little data. I can show you
8 this -- most of this were Site Inspection reports,
9 and what I did was just take sections out of here and
10 put it on the slides. You're free to look at these,
11 if you want.

12 MR. DLUGOSZ: I'd appreciate that.

13 MR. BARRICELLI: Yeah, this is what I
14 scanned in the time I had, with the scanner I had.
15 Again, it was malfunctioning sometimes, so this is
16 what I have. You can look at it.

17 MR. DLUGOSZ: Okay. And I think -- the
18 last thing. It was in the questions and answers to
19 that session. I made the -- the statement that I had
20 tried the OPRA, what do you call it, route, and it
21 wasn't successful. I had Ms. Kokas -- or
22 Ms. Siekerka, who was Ken Kloo's, what do you call
23 it, predecessor. I asked Ms. Kokas (sic) to send me
24 as much as she could as a result of -- of that OPRA
25 request, and what I received was an email and an

1 attachment in the minutes. I don't remember from the
2 meeting itself, but in the minutes, I -- I got the
3 answer or your response to my -- my statement was
4 that you had asked for data from me or -- or proof of
5 what I put into my report.

6 In my report, there was an EPA thing
7 that you -- you reiterated, and then there was an
8 email in the report -- in the presentation itself.
9 You asked at the end of that meeting for the proof of
10 that, which you mentioned in your statement that you
11 never received. I sent you an email with -- that I
12 forwarded from Ms. Kokas to you with that attachment
13 as the information that you had requested, and so...

14 MS. GREEN: Well, if you haven't gone to
15 the state and actually gone through the boxes, 'cause
16 I did, the information that the EPA has online is
17 what, one or two pages? It's not a lot of
18 information. It doesn't actually have all of the
19 information like it has when you go to the state.
20 And, you'll see, there is a lot of information.
21 There's really about eight, ten -- ten boxes. A lot
22 of boxes with stuff.

23 So that's what I'm saying. Unless you
24 have seen all of that information, you can't just go
25 by that one -- I think it was one year or so that EPA

1 had online. They didn't have all of the wealth of
2 information, nor does it have anything -- because the
3 state doesn't have it from 2010. Because they are
4 allowing an LSRP to continue on with the ongoing
5 monitoring there. So that's why I didn't understand
6 how you could have gotten the information if you
7 didn't do an OPRA.

8 MR. DLUGOSZ: Well, again, I told you
9 how I received the information from OPRA, which is
10 the local DEP. The website that -- that EPA provided
11 showed a summary of what they had done.

12 MS. GREEN: It -- it doesn't hardly show
13 as much as is actually there; that's what I'm saying.
14 You go to the state, you'll see what I'm talking
15 about. It's a lot.

16 MR. DLUGOSZ: The state or the --

17 MS. GREEN: OPRA. Just say OPRA.

18 MR. DLUGOSZ: I was talking about the
19 EPA just now.

20 MS. GREEN: Yeah, but it's the state who
21 does the OPRA, though. Who has all the actual
22 documents in their office.

23 MR. DLUGOSZ: I thought you were -- the
24 OPRA, I understand. And --

25 MS. GREEN: Yeah, 'cause I looked up

1 what you're saying you did and you looked up what
2 they had, and what I'm saying or what Frank has said
3 is that it doesn't have everything. I mean, it
4 doesn't show --

5 MR. DLUGOSZ: And I -- and I acknowledge
6 that what --

7 MS. GREEN: But you'll get more
8 information from --

9 MR. DLUGOSZ: -- I saw --

10 MS. GREEN: I know. I agree with you.
11 I agree with you. But what I'm saying is there's a
12 whole wealth of information that you could get from
13 going to Trenton and actually looking at what's in
14 the boxes.

15 MR. DLUGOSZ: And I've put it -- we're
16 in the process of having -- I mixed things up a
17 little earlier, but we're in the process of doing
18 that exact same thing.

19 MS. GREEN: Yeah. And I mean, I don't
20 mean to put Linda on the line, but Linda -- I'm sure
21 Linda can help you to -- or Frank, since he's already
22 done it, on how to go through the process to get it
23 done, and, you know, get you an appointment because
24 you have to get an appointment. And they will have
25 all of the records there. You do only have one day

1 to do it or, you know, I guess you have to make more
2 than one request. But you should probably take
3 someone with you because it's a lot of information.

4 MR. DLUGOSZ: I understand that for the,
5 what do you call it, DEP information. I was trying
6 to make a point that the EPA online data was what was
7 presented in the presentation where there was no --
8 they said there was no surface water affected, there
9 was no, what do you call it, sediment evidence of any
10 sediment pollution, and that's why I asked for
11 Frank's -- Frank if there was any data readings, you
12 know, levels, contaminations associated in the boxes.

13 MS. GREEN: In the reports that's in the
14 boxes, it has that information. It does have that
15 information.

16 MR. DLUGOSZ: Okay.

17 MR. BARRICELLI: And if you're gonna go
18 down there, I'll loan you the scanner. But practice
19 with it first so you don't, you know, lose pages like
20 I did. It will make it a lot easier to use a
21 scanner.

22 MR. DLUGOSZ: Maybe you could make a
23 copy of what you have in your hand there, or I can
24 make it for you.

25 MR. BARRICELLI: Yes, you do that. My

1 copy machine is worn out. But when you go down
2 there, just let me know. I'll get you my scanner and
3 you can learn how to use it before you go down there.
4 It makes taking notes a lot easier.

5 MR. DLUGOSZ: Okay.

6 MR. GRUSKOS: This is not RAB-related
7 directly. I just had something I was gonna ask Ed
8 about as it relates to Eatontown, but has Eatontown
9 thought of, like, making some sort of environmental
10 fund out of potential increased rateables and revenue
11 streams coming out of the Fort Monmouth property?
12 It's kind of an odd question, but it's like --

13 MR. DLUGOSZ: Yeah, and it's one I can't
14 answer.

15 MR. GRUSKOS: It's almost something I
16 feel going on record within your town, saying that
17 you have, like, a master plan with environmental
18 remediation. Not necessarily as part of the Fort
19 property, but that you're looking for funding moving
20 forward. It would really be, you know, an
21 interesting way of, like, kind of funding future
22 projects, whether it's, you know, late cleanups or
23 whatever studies. It's kind of an odd question.

24 MR. DLUGOSZ: I'll pose the same
25 question. What does Oceanport do?

1 MR. GRUSKOS: Well, I'm sort of
2 interested in -- like we've -- in the past, we've
3 sought, for example, Blue Acres funds to buy
4 properties to keep the view of, let's say, going over
5 Seven Bridges.

6 MR. DLUGOSZ: The wetlands.

7 MR. GRUSKOS: Yeah. And then, actually,
8 some of the upland areas just to keep the view. So
9 it's kind of to prevent. And then, you know, I kind
10 of look at it, if you buy things, then it's kind of
11 yours. You know what I mean? When I say towns, I
12 mean the town is gonna adopt, you know, stewardship.
13 But it's, like, something that I'm just contemplating
14 myself. I was just wondering because Eatontown's
15 usually a leader on these thought processes, you
16 know. I was just wondering if you had -- if that's
17 been broached before?

18 MR. DLUGOSZ: Not to my knowledge.

19 MR. GRUSKOS: Okay.

20 MR. DLUGOSZ: It's interesting. Maybe
21 we can talk a little bit more Monday.

22 MS. GREEN: Does anyone have any RAB
23 questions?

24 MR. GRUSKOS: No. I apologize. I
25 apologize, Wanda.

1 MS. GREEN: Okay. As you could see, we
2 have the dates for -- tentative dates for the 2015.
3 You will note the January and July, due to holidays,
4 is the second Thursday, instead of the first Thursday
5 of the month.

6 MR. CHARNICK: I have a conflict with
7 the second Thursday, but that's just me.

8 MS. GREEN: Okay. Yeah. You could just
9 email me if you're unable to attend and -- as a
10 matter of fact, I want to speak on that. I do need
11 you -- I do send out emails and if you're not getting
12 emails from me -- you should get an email reminding
13 you that we're having a meeting, you'll get an email
14 of the agenda of the previous minutes. What I asked
15 in the email is for you to let me know if you aren't
16 able to attend, because I don't know if you ever
17 noticed, it kind of drives Jim nuts if we don't have
18 a quorum to be able to vote on wherever voting is
19 needed.

20 I haven't been getting a lot of replies,
21 so I'm asking you, for the January 8th meeting, if
22 you're unable to attend, to please email either
23 myself or email Jim to let us know that you won't be
24 able to attend. Or if you -- like Joe, I know
25 sometimes you send a representative, so let me know

1 you're going to be sending a representative instead.

2 Okay. Moving on to the next item of
3 public comment, and we have one person signed up, and
4 it's Sara Breslow.

5 MS. BRESLOW: Sara Breslow, Redacted - Privacy Act
6 Redacted - Privacy Act.

7 The presentation by the New Jersey DEP
8 contractors and Frank Barricelli at the July 10th,
9 2014 meeting were clearly a preplanned ambush to
10 discredit previous presentations by Eatontown's RAB
11 representative.

12 Mr. Barricelli's presentation was
13 deliberately hidden under the guise of a roundtable
14 discussion, which it plainly wasn't, since it was a
15 prepared presentation, slide show, handouts, biased
16 opinion and all.

17 What took place was a dog-and-pony show
18 for Congressman Smith's representative, who appeared
19 due to the efforts of Mayor Tarantolo, Brian
20 Charnick, and Ed Dlugosz, who were not notified.

21 If contamination is found in Wampum Lake
22 from another site, it does not exonerate the Fort
23 from doing the same thing, only at a higher rate of
24 discharge for at least a decade longer, and including
25 several heavy metals not associated with

1 Metallurgical Industries' activities.

2 These differences require any thinking
3 person to insist on independent testing. Why should
4 the public trust one government agency doing its best
5 to muddy the water in defense of another government
6 agency?

7 Ms. Green even took it a step further by
8 berating Mr. Dlugosz and accusing him of presenting,
9 quote, hearsay, unquote, evidence. It was an act
10 worthy of Houdini, where misdirection is the key.
11 See what I have in this hand? Don't look at what the
12 other hand is doing. See these Metallurgical
13 statistics? Don't look at the Army's statistics.
14 But the public saw the strings and figured out the
15 trick. You're not going to bury this issue no matter
16 how many wands or Wandas you bring out. No matter
17 how fed up Mr. Allen sounds with members of the
18 public questioning your outrageous conduct.

19 Shame on you. Shame on every single one
20 of you who was involved in this illegal collusion
21 against public good. Shame on Wanda Green for hiding
22 the item on the agenda and making sure none of the
23 material was provided to all of the RAB members ahead
24 of time so an informed discussion could take place.
25 Shame on Wanda Green for ridiculing an honest man

1 working for the good of the public.

2 You cannot make a case that the run-off
3 from Metallurgical Industries is responsible for
4 contamination in Wampum Lake without condemning the
5 Army to the same responsibility. Getting the New
6 Jersey DEP to make a statement that there's no
7 significant human impact left in the Charles Wood
8 area reveals how deep the collusion extends. It was
9 an effort to disassociate the Army from the
10 contaminants that have settled downstream in Wampum
11 Lake.

12 Now we know the New Jersey DEP is in the
13 Army's pocket.

14 Now we know that Wanda Green and Frank
15 Barricelli will stoop to any means to get the Army
16 off the hook.

17 Now we know that Mr. Allen wants to be
18 done with it regardless of the truth.

19 Now we know that Mr. Barricelli has sold
20 the residents of Oceanport down the stream.

21 Now we know that you have no moral
22 consideration of the harm being done to the children
23 of Eatontown, Oceanport, and Tinton Falls for decades
24 to come.

25 We, who are being poisoned daily from

1 the Army's contamination, saw your hand. We know who
2 you are, and what you want, and how far you'll go to
3 get it, and now it's public record.

4 And it is insane that the Army doesn't
5 have to investigate 376 UHOTs left that probably are
6 leaking. It's insane that the New Jersey DEP doesn't
7 mandate it. It's irresponsible to pass it on to
8 FMERA or future developers where it may be hidden.
9 This hurts towns, hurts residents, and hurts the
10 earth. This is government at its worst.

11 MS. GREEN: Are there any other public
12 comment?

13 Does anyone from the board have anything
14 else?

15 MR. BARRICELLI: Yes.

16 Madam, I don't know what your background
17 is. I know you're a great admirer of Ed, who's done
18 a lot of work in the environmental area.

19 What I did was simply go to NJDEP and
20 look at what's in the files. I brought that to the
21 meeting, I gave a report on it. I'm going to send Ed
22 the electronic copy of what I have here. He can go
23 through it.

24 To charge me -- to make a charge that I
25 am doing something illegal is libel. I'm glad it's

1 on tape 'cause you just made several libelous
2 statements about people here who are doing their best
3 to get information conveyed to the residents of their
4 towns.

5 I did not understand Mr. Dlugosz's
6 presentation when it had one data point on it. So I
7 took the time to go to the NJDEP and look through
8 their files. Shame on me.

9 When everybody else was signing up to
10 the Monmouth County's 20-lakes report saying the sky
11 is falling, I took the time to read that report
12 carefully.

13 If you checked your emails, RAB members,
14 you'll see that in December or January -- either
15 December of 2011 or January of 2012, Mr. Simon's
16 advised the RAB members to go back and reread the
17 report. In my initial read of the 20-lakes report, I
18 found that they hadn't loaded the entire document.
19 There were very important pages missing. If you
20 prepared a briefing based upon that earlier version,
21 you didn't see half the report.

22 When I took the time to go to the data
23 sheets, I generated a list of corrections. Those
24 corrections are the same ones that NJDEP put in the
25 report in August of 2012. Obviously, they felt I

1 found some errors. The reports you heard last RAB
2 meeting was basically what I briefed in April of 2012
3 about that report. It incorrectly included
4 hydrocarbons in the graphs, which made them look far
5 worse for heavy metals because people were assuming
6 everything was heavy metals.

7 If you understand that report the way
8 it's written, Wampum Lake does have the highest level
9 of metals of all the 20 lakes surveyed. I agree with
10 that. And I think once Mr. Dlugosz goes and reads
11 the stuff that's in NJDEP files, he will see that the
12 Metallurgical Industries site was a chronic
13 contributor of heavy metal pollution to that stream
14 to a greater degree than the laboratory operations
15 that took place at Fort Monmouth.

16 Industrial uses of -- industrial
17 applications of smelting put out far more
18 contaminants than the Fort Monmouth laboratory.
19 Anybody who worked at Fort Monmouth and understands
20 the volume of materials that would have been put in
21 the -- in the sewer plant would surely be able to
22 understand that an industrial polluter puts out far
23 more than a laboratory.

24 I'm just asking that Eatontown's
25 representatives go check the same information. I

1 don't make slanderous statements about people who are
2 trying to do their jobs; I simply take the time to
3 carefully read, very carefully read. I check data
4 sheets, I go back to the -- to the charts, I verify
5 everything I can. And when I find things I don't
6 understand, I say so.

7 If you're worried about being
8 blindsided, I've been blindsided by information put
9 in the newspapers and on the Friends of Clearwater
10 website that cast a very bad light on the RAB and the
11 Army's cleanup efforts.

12 Not everyone has been very open with
13 information in this RAB, and I'm not about to put up
14 with someone who has no understanding of engineering
15 or science criticizing what I've done. And if you
16 make another libelous statement, you will be hauled
17 in -- before a court of law. Okay?

18 You're saying I did something illegal?
19 You better have some proof about that, madam.

20 MS. GREEN: Does anyone else have any
21 comments?

22 Can I have a motion --

23 MR. CHARNICK: I move we adjourn.

24 MS. GREEN: Could I get it out?

25 Could I have a motion to adjourn.

1 MR. CHARNICK: Yes. I so move.

2 MR. DLUGOSZ: Second.

3 MS. GREEN: All right. The meeting is
4 adjourned. Thank you.

5 (Proceedings concluded at 8:48 p.m.)

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATE

I, LYDIA F. McDONNELL, a Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Jersey, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings as taken stenographically by and before me at the time, place and on the date hereinbefore set forth.

I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel, and that I am not financially interested in the action.

Notary Public of the State of New Jersey
License No. 30XI00155900
My Commission expires June 30, 2016
Dated: October 8, 2014

| | | | |
|---|---|---|--|
| <p>0</p> <p>03 20:13 28:10 04 16:7,21 19:3,11 19:14,21 20:9,17 23:24 27:23 05 20:14 07757 1:9</p> | <p>2,000 37:19 20 6:16,21 7:7 11:1 13:12 31:18,20 77:10,17 78:9 200 39:11 40:25 45:25 46:5 54:20 2003 39:8 41:1 2005 17:4 36:9 39:8 41:1</p> | <p>30 81:17 30xi00155900 81:17 370 49:23 371 39:16 376 45:19 46:25 47:8,11,14 48:12 49:2,23 50:20 54:12 58:15 59:5 76:5 399 45:9,10</p> | <p>800 39:12 40:25 46:5 54:20 55:5 8:48 80:5 8th 72:21</p> |
| <p>1</p> | <p>2006 39:13 2007 36:8,12 39:13 39:14,16,19 41:7 2008 20:10 22:25 34:16 36:13,14 38:2 40:1,2,15,23 41:20 2008-2009 52:24 2009 40:19 2010 21:13 23:8 40:23 41:7 67:3 2011 17:4 20:19 41:20 53:13 58:5 77:15 2012 12:22 24:2 42:5,20 45:6 77:15 77:25 78:2 2013 20:21 21:12 2014 1:8 2:7 46:12 73:9 81:18 2015 72:2 2016 81:17 2018 19:19 22 53:2 24 53:8 246 21:10 25 42:1,4 53:9 262 45:10 27 39:23 40:24 43:1 46:4 28 36:18 39:23 40:24 43:1,5 46:4</p> | <p>4</p> | <p>a</p> |
| <p>1 3:23 35:17,22 36:6 36:10,20 43:7 1-3 45:24 1.4 19:14 37:4 10 37:10,23 10th 73:8 11 73:5 12 46:7 13 21:13 26:22 39:23 40:24 42:25 46:4 14 36:18 39:23 40:24 42:25 43:5 46:4 15 28:13 39:23 40:24 42:25 46:4 53:13 159 21:12 15th 58:5 18 62:10,11,13,14 1927481 2:12 1940 55:14 1940s 38:15 195 45:12 1955 19:15 1956 19:15,16 1980s 38:15 1990 38:6,22 42:20 1990s 38:8,23 1996 21:13 1997 20:19 21:12</p> | <p>284 62:7</p> | <p>4 15:7 19:3 29:16 400 39:11 40:25 46:5 54:18,20,23 60:8 455 1:9 2:6 56:15,15 56:16 474 38:24</p> | <p>abandon 51:17 abandoned 39:17 42:1 51:14,15 52:7 able 3:3 6:5 14:3 45:12 72:16,18,24 78:21 aboveground 38:16 absence 39:22 absolutely 51:20 accept 3:16 acceptable 23:24 24:15 accepted 24:5 access 40:3 50:21 51:1 accomplished 32:4 accumulation 37:7 37:17 accurate 64:23 81:6 accusing 74:8 achieve 17:12 acknowledge 68:5 acres 19:14 71:3 acsim 47:24 act 16:14 74:9 action 17:5,18 18:10 18:10 24:18 42:6,22 43:21 44:21 45:13 81:11,14 actions 24:19 active 17:25 38:24 activities 39:18,25 41:6,20,23 42:3 43:4 46:3 64:4 74:1 activity 51:23 59:13 actual 5:3 9:4 15:17 25:17 26:8 41:23 50:22 64:7 67:21</p> |
| <p>2</p> | <p>3</p> | <p>5</p> | <p>accepted 24:5 access 40:3 50:21 51:1 accomplished 32:4 accumulation 37:7 37:17 accurate 64:23 81:6 accusing 74:8 achieve 17:12 acknowledge 68:5 acres 19:14 71:3 acsim 47:24 act 16:14 74:9 action 17:5,18 18:10 18:10 24:18 42:6,22 43:21 44:21 45:13 81:11,14 actions 24:19 active 17:25 38:24 activities 39:18,25 41:6,20,23 42:3 43:4 46:3 64:4 74:1 activity 51:23 59:13 actual 5:3 9:4 15:17 25:17 26:8 41:23 50:22 64:7 67:21</p> |
| <p>2 1:8 2:7 6:13 16:9 44:3 46:19</p> | <p>3 15:4 30:20 44:3 46:19 62:21</p> | <p>5.4 36:7 51 36:18 39:23 40:24 43:1,5 46:4</p> | <p>aboveground 38:16 absence 39:22 absolutely 51:20 accept 3:16 acceptable 23:24 24:15 accepted 24:5 access 40:3 50:21 51:1 accomplished 32:4 accumulation 37:7 37:17 accurate 64:23 81:6 accusing 74:8 achieve 17:12 acknowledge 68:5 acres 19:14 71:3 acsim 47:24 act 16:14 74:9 action 17:5,18 18:10 18:10 24:18 42:6,22 43:21 44:21 45:13 81:11,14 actions 24:19 active 17:25 38:24 activities 39:18,25 41:6,20,23 42:3 43:4 46:3 64:4 74:1 activity 51:23 59:13 actual 5:3 9:4 15:17 25:17 26:8 41:23 50:22 64:7 67:21</p> |
| <p>2 1:8 2:7 6:13 16:9 44:3 46:19</p> | <p>3 15:4 30:20 44:3 46:19 62:21</p> | <p>6</p> | <p>61 46:6 63 21:10 66 21:9</p> |
| <p>2 1:8 2:7 6:13 16:9 44:3 46:19</p> | <p>3 15:4 30:20 44:3 46:19 62:21</p> | <p>7</p> | <p>700 39:11 40:25 46:5 54:20 55:5 73 46:3 76 36:18 39:23 40:24 43:1,5 45:25 46:4 79 36:18 39:24 40:25 43:1,5 46:4 54:18 7:00 1:10 2:8 7:14b 37:4 7:26e 16:17</p> |
| <p>2 1:8 2:7 6:13 16:9 44:3 46:19</p> | <p>3 15:4 30:20 44:3 46:19 62:21</p> | <p>8</p> | <p>8 27:20 62:6,8,17,24 81:18</p> |

| | | | |
|--|--|---|---|
| <p>add 7:15,16 9:7,13 9:25 14:22,23 46:17 added 3:13 4:16 7:4 12:7 13:23 addendum 35:11,17 35:22 36:6,7,10,20 42:12 44:3 58:1 additional 36:11 41:5 46:8,17 address 39:19 48:10 adjourn 79:23,25 adjourned 80:4 administrative 16:16 administrator 53:3 admirer 76:17 adopt 71:12 advised 77:16 advisory 1:1,5 49:12 50:7 52:20 aerial 40:12 41:13 afoot 52:11 age 56:25 agency 21:21 74:4,6 agenda 16:10,11 72:14 74:22 agree 7:22 68:10,11 78:9 agricultural 27:15 ahead 3:4 53:14 74:23 algebraic 11:4 allegiance 3:5,6 allen 3:1,24 45:24 74:17 75:17 allowed 29:9,22 31:13 allowing 67:4 allows 25:16 26:8 allyson 1:22 8:22 9:3 alongside 62:17 alternatives 18:14 18:17 50:11</p> | <p>ambush 73:9 amend 11:18 12:1,4 amended 10:20 11:1 13:22 amendment 12:15 amount 29:7 34:7 42:10,13,24 43:25 analogy 48:11 analysis 18:16 23:2 analyzed 21:15 anecdotal 64:21,24 anomalies 41:3,8 42:25 46:3,6 57:12 anomaly 57:14 answer 25:14 27:2 29:25 31:11 47:21 66:3 70:14 answers 34:9 65:18 anticipated 25:18 anybody 48:13 78:19 anyway 14:20 49:25 apologize 71:24,25 appeared 73:18 applications 78:17 applies 26:10 apply 25:25 26:19 appointment 68:23 68:24 apportioning 62:4 appreciate 29:2 65:12 approach 32:18 35:25 42:9 appropriate 26:11 42:7 approval 17:13,17 42:22 45:13 approve 17:16 approximately 38:24 appurtenant 37:6,9 37:15,22 april 40:19 78:2</p> | <p>area 15:6 19:6,9 27:8 28:21,25 31:14 39:1 42:16 45:7,20 45:25 54:18,20,23 55:5 60:8 75:8 76:18 areas 31:10,18 39:12 40:25 43:1 46:5 54:20 55:12 56:6 71:8 army 1:13 36:9 37:25 39:9 47:25 49:14 52:11,13 53:20 75:5,9,15 76:4 army's 74:13 75:13 76:1 79:11 aside 15:1 55:10 asked 8:15 11:15 31:9 65:23 66:4,9 69:10 72:14 asking 5:4 31:8 72:21 78:24 aspects 11:19 assessed 45:1 assessment 17:1 18:7,7 22:13 23:11 23:12,14,23 24:2 25:16 26:6 40:21 60:19 associated 17:16 23:15 24:12 25:2 27:3 45:21 63:18 69:12 73:25 assuming 58:23 78:5 assumption 48:7 50:4 assumptions 25:19 25:25 59:4 asts 38:20 39:2 attachment 66:1,12 attempt 6:14 attend 72:9,16,22,24</p> | <p>attorney 81:10,12 attributed 23:5 attribution 23:7 audience 7:11 august 12:22 77:25 author 11:18,23 authority 53:12 available 14:8 36:11 40:5 47:13 60:23 63:22 avenue 1:9 2:6 28:12 45:25</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">b</p> <hr/> <p>b 1:12 back 9:7,9 12:21 13:2 15:20 31:11,12 31:18 34:2 52:24 55:14 58:10,11 60:7 77:16 79:4 background 16:20 16:22 19:13 21:16 23:5 35:24 38:5 76:16 backs 29:7 bad 79:10 badly 32:2 bank 29:8,16 barracks 55:15 56:7 56:8 barricelli 1:17 3:23 4:2 7:25 9:23 12:19 13:17 27:20,22 28:11,18 32:1,12 33:3,7 49:6,18 61:3 61:10,17,19 62:1 63:13 64:3,19 65:4 65:13 69:17,25 73:8 75:15,19 76:15 barricelli's 73:12 base 17:4 based 23:2 25:24 40:10,11 41:21 43:13 45:8 47:2,12 54:19 55:13 57:5,7</p> |
|--|--|---|---|

| | | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| <p>77:20 baseline 20:20 basic 33:13 basically 20:15 23:6 24:10 42:11 52:18 78:2 bee 24:1,7,8 began 37:25 believe 12:18 13:9 15:4 47:7 48:6 believed 55:12 beneath 20:23 37:11 berating 74:8 best 53:20 74:4 77:2 better 16:5 32:16 33:14 79:19 beyond 10:24 51:22 biased 73:15 bidders 49:19 51:25 biennial 25:5 big 13:3 49:24 55:8 bit 13:19 16:20,21 52:9 71:21 blindsided 79:8,8 blue 20:3 71:3 board 1:1,5 14:5 76:13 borings 21:4,10 59:1,2 bottles 28:4,16 bought 65:6 boundaries 34:14 boundary 20:8,11 20:11 27:23 boxes 64:7,15 65:3 66:15,21,22 68:14 69:12,14 brac 17:4,5,12 23:13 36:9 47:22 53:3,11 53:11,11 54:4,6 55:6,9 breslow 73:4,5,5 brian 1:14 6:25 8:12 73:19</p> | <p>bridges 71:5 brief 35:20,24 38:5 54:17 55:19 briefed 78:2 briefing 15:23 63:23 63:24 77:20 bring 52:19 74:16 brings 45:3 broached 33:12 71:17 broad 31:15 brought 28:18,20 38:19 60:7 61:3 65:5 76:20 brush 31:15 build 58:14 building 27:12 37:18,21 38:14 44:9 44:11,13,17,18,24 45:19 46:2,20 47:8 55:20 56:1,3,14,14 56:14,16 57:1 62:7 buildings 39:3 42:18 43:15 47:1 62:6 built 55:20 56:1 bulldozers 49:25 bullet 15:4 16:11 21:15 22:4,5,5,7,23 23:25 bullets 18:12 21:1 24:21 bunch 35:2 bureaus 34:3 buried 49:21 bury 74:15 bushes 32:22 buy 71:3,10 buyer 50:12 51:1 buying 52:1</p> | <p>called 3:2 18:3,8,21 35:11 55:7,18 cap 24:22 25:1,2 26:23 27:4 capacity 37:19 carcinogenic 23:20 card 55:19,25 58:13 cards 40:4 55:18,22 57:7 care 52:1 carefully 8:15 77:12 79:3,3 carried 39:22 case 1:18 17:8 23:16 26:25 49:4 54:13 75:2 cases 50:14 cast 79:10 catalog 55:22 categories 46:9 cause 10:8 15:16 58:18 66:15 67:25 77:1 caused 13:6 central 19:11 cercla 16:14 17:6,23 23:12 24:14,18 25:11,12,16 26:8 47:24 48:23 50:2 53:2 54:4,5 certain 7:10 11:18 25:24 50:9 59:14 certificate 81:1 certified 2:4 81:3 certify 81:5,9 cetera 25:21 chair 1:13 3:24,25 4:2 chance 4:12 5:3 14:6 51:24 change 6:2 10:1 12:22 15:3 28:22 changer 10:9 changes 3:16 12:25</p> | <p>characteristics 41:4 characterization 16:25 characterize 26:2 34:12 characterized 24:13 46:6 characterizing 34:8 charge 76:24,24 charles 19:6 28:21 39:1 42:16 45:7,20 58:16 75:7 charnick 1:14 5:19 5:21 6:1,6,10,20 7:2 7:13,16 8:5,14 9:2 9:11,21,24 10:7,12 10:16 12:8,12 13:24 27:15 60:4,15 72:6 73:20 79:23 80:1 charts 79:4 check 78:25 79:3 checked 77:13 chemical 22:2,7 chemicals 18:4 children 75:22 choice 54:5 chronic 78:12 circulated 10:20 clarify 6:14 clay 20:25,25 clean 6:22 cleaning 64:11 cleanup 64:4 79:11 cleanups 70:22 clearly 7:5 73:9 clearwater 79:9 click 58:3 close 16:22 17:1,7 28:12 56:23 closed 17:4 closer 6:18 closing 46:10 closure 17:5 39:4,5 42:4,19 43:15,21 45:10,11,15</p> |
| | <p style="text-align: center;">c</p> <p>calculated 30:13 call 4:9,10 11:9 53:1 65:20,22 69:5,9</p> | | |

| | | | |
|---|--|--|---|
| <p>coal 38:7 43:18 44:14 56:2 cocs 18:9,9 code 16:16 coincide 4:14 collected 21:19 22:24 23:8 34:6 collusion 74:20 75:8 color 20:2 column 45:14 com 53:13 combination 37:3 37:14 combined 7:4 come 28:13 52:4 75:24 coming 70:11 command 60:18,23 commander 53:13 commencing 2:8 comment 7:14 8:13 14:15 33:25 34:4,21 73:3 76:12 comments 3:21 4:8 4:11,21 5:18,22 6:7 7:17 14:23 34:3 36:15 40:16 79:21 commission 81:17 committee 49:12 50:7 community 3:25 4:2 55:7 compared 21:21 41:15 comparison 22:9 23:3 compensation 16:13 compiled 40:6 41:11 43:6 46:11 complete 15:25 34:3 35:5 59:11 completion 36:12 38:2 complicated 52:9</p> | <p>comply 17:10 24:19 component 17:25 18:1 components 16:24 18:19 compounds 22:16 comprehensive 16:12 concept 19:18 concern 18:3,8 22:7 31:18 concerning 10:18 29:5 41:14 concerns 46:1 concluded 24:2 41:7 80:5 concludes 36:4 conclusion 7:6 conclusions 6:16,21 24:4 concrete 57:19 concurred 23:6 concurrently 41:10 condemning 75:4 conduct 74:18 conducted 20:20 24:1 39:12,18 41:19 46:8 confirm 46:8 conflict 72:6 congressman 73:18 consideration 75:22 considered 44:21 consistent 13:10,13 consists 16:24 construction 39:11 43:23 consult 26:6 consumption 37:17 37:20 contact 21:23 22:19 25:21 contain 37:7,16 contained 36:10</p> | <p>contaminants 7:4 18:2,3,8 24:11 75:10 78:18 contamination 13:10 48:20 63:19 73:21 75:4 76:1 contaminations 69:12 contemplating 71:13 continue 67:4 continuing 60:17 contractor 15:11 contractors 73:8 contributor 78:13 controls 24:24 25:4 25:5 converted 39:4 44:14 conveyed 77:3 copcs 18:5,6 22:6,11 23:1,9,18 copy 10:4 11:1,15 12:18 14:11 15:18 15:19,19 61:10 69:23 70:1 76:22 correct 4:3 12:24 25:23 27:10,14 48:17 49:5 51:8 59:4 corrections 12:20 12:24 77:23,24 correctly 7:20 correspond 43:2 corresponds 46:21 corridor 29:10 corridors 29:9 counsel 81:10,12 counter 53:17 county 6:16,21 7:2,8 7:19,21 11:24 12:4 12:13 county's 77:10 couple 4:15 19:2 20:13 34:2 57:6</p> | <p>course 30:17 64:17 court 79:17 cover 16:15 19:17 24:22 26:23 29:5 30:5,14 31:3 covered 14:17 covers 20:22 crazy 59:18 creek 19:24,25 20:1 20:4 criteria 22:10 25:11 criticizing 79:15 current 12:23 23:22 24:16 42:8 61:5 currently 19:16</p> <p style="text-align: center;">d</p> <p>daily 75:25 dash 19:23 20:8,12 21:7 data 7:19 12:21,24 21:19 36:11 40:24 41:5,10 42:15,17 43:11,13 64:3,6,12 64:17 65:7 66:4 69:6,11 77:6,22 79:3 database 40:3,8 date 81:8 dated 81:18 dates 43:20 72:2,2 day 64:7,14 65:6 68:25 days 59:14,17 deal 50:2,3 51:13 54:6 debris 39:11 decade 73:24 decades 75:23 december 77:14,15 decided 60:11 decimal 10:21 11:21 decision 5:11 18:22 18:24 32:19 52:3</p> |
|---|--|--|---|

| | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| <p>deed 25:3 deemed 29:10 deep 75:8 defense 74:5 define 18:2,8 25:1 34:13 defined 18:10 37:13 defines 44:6 defining 31:16 definition 35:23 37:1,2,12 degree 40:13 78:14 deleterious 24:3 deliberately 73:13 delineate 39:10 delineated 21:4 delineation 21:6 40:21 demolished 40:5 42:18 43:15,17 45:19 47:1 56:3 dep 5:21 12:15 16:16 17:13,14 22:8 22:16 23:3,4,6 24:5 25:13,22 26:10,13 31:9 33:22 37:14 40:16 42:5 45:11,12 46:11 48:3 53:24 67:10 69:5 73:7 75:6,12 76:6 department 1:15 16:15 36:14 39:9 depends 31:15 depicted 44:3 46:18 depiction 10:22 depictions 13:1,4 dereliction 59:7 dermal 25:20 describe 16:12 description 55:19 design 30:7 designed 25:23 detail 30:7 44:5 46:17 50:20</p> | <p>detailed 18:16 details 29:25 detect 39:10 detected 41:3 detector 57:11 59:21 60:10 determination 47:5 53:17 determinations 42:6 43:13 44:2 46:18 determine 35:25 42:10,15,21 59:3 determined 36:17 45:9 47:18 develop 18:13 developed 36:3 38:23 40:3,8 developers 76:8 diagrams 40:6 diesel 38:8 differences 11:15 26:3 74:2 different 15:1 22:9 25:15 26:7,16 54:11 62:5 dig 28:3 diligence 50:16,21 direct 21:23 22:19 direction 11:11 41:25 directly 70:7 disagrees 9:22 disassociate 75:9 disc 15:14,17 discharge 48:9,10 54:10,10 73:24 discharges 45:16 discovered 45:24 discredit 73:10 discrepancies 40:21 discs 50:23 discuss 10:6 30:24 32:17 61:2 discussed 30:3,23</p> | <p>discussion 61:1 73:14 74:24 dispute 13:18 distributed 50:24 disturbed 32:2,21 divided 22:4 dlugosz 1:19 3:25 4:4,8,19,25 5:5,8,10 5:16 8:2,6 9:15,18 11:8 13:15,18,25 14:7,10,13,24 16:3 24:7 25:10 26:2,14 28:9 29:1 30:18 32:11 33:6 34:10,19 46:13,23 47:10,16 48:2,7,11 49:1,16 51:20 52:5,23 53:16 58:22 59:19 60:1,6 62:2,11,14,17,22 63:10,15 64:1,16,20 65:12,17 67:8,16,18 67:23 68:5,9,15 69:4,16,22 70:5,13 70:24 71:6,18,20 73:20 74:8 78:10 80:2 dlugosz's 77:5 document 11:19 15:10,11,12 18:22 25:4 34:22 35:2 77:18 documented 39:14 39:25 documents 17:13,17 67:22 dog 73:17 doing 9:4 11:24 15:1 62:18 63:11 68:17 73:23 74:4,12 76:25 77:2 doubt 64:22,22 downstream 75:10 downward 59:20 60:2</p> | <p>dpw 40:3 41:11 45:24 draft 58:9 63:11 drafts 34:24 drilled 48:14 drillings 34:11 drive 27:24 28:1,5,7 28:7 73:6 drives 58:8 72:17 dropped 33:14 due 46:1 50:16,21 57:13 72:3 73:19 dug 28:15 dumped 64:9 duty 59:7</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">e</p> <p>e 1:12,12 eac 50:3 ear 6:18 earlier 17:14 21:5 22:6,14 34:12,12 68:17 77:20 early 38:8,22 earth 76:10 easier 69:20 70:4 east 20:4,5 eatontown 1:14,19 29:2 32:13,13 33:4 51:13 52:6 70:8,8 73:6 75:23 eatontown's 71:14 73:10 78:24 echoes 52:25 ecological 24:1,3 ecp 35:10,17 36:6,9 36:10,12,17 39:12 39:14,20,23 40:18 40:22,24 42:12,25 43:7 46:4,10,19,21 54:19 55:14 ed 4:7 14:4 51:11 52:19 58:20 70:7 73:20 76:17,21</p> |
|---|---|--|--|

| | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| <p>edge 31:19 edward 1:19 effects 24:3 effort 75:9 efforts 73:19 79:11 eight 20:22 22:12 66:21 either 4:12 6:9 23:9 62:8 72:22 77:14 electric 44:14 electro 59:24 electromagnetic 41:3 42:25 46:3 59:25 electronic 55:23 76:22 em 41:8 59:24 email 10:5 14:4,5 61:8 65:25 66:8,11 72:9,12,13,15,22,23 emailed 5:24 emails 72:11,12 77:13 employee 35:16 81:10,12 encumbrances 53:22 enforce 54:8 engineering 79:14 entire 14:5 26:18 77:18 entitled 2:3 environment 24:15 environmental 16:13,15 21:21 36:15 49:11 50:7 52:20 62:3 70:9,17 76:18 enviroscan 39:8,21 42:24 46:6 54:21 55:11 57:8,12,22 59:19 60:12 enviroscan's 41:16 epa 66:6,16,25 67:10,19 69:6</p> | <p>epa's 23:24 equipment 37:7,10 37:16,23 equivalent 44:1 errors 11:22 12:25 78:1 essentially 11:16 22:10 estimate 43:11 estimated 43:25 et 25:21 evaluate 17:24 evaluated 23:19 evaluation 23:15 evening 35:13 event 34:12 59:16 events 35:24 38:5 eventually 18:21 everybody 7:22 61:11 77:9 evidence 39:6 49:9 54:9,10 69:9 74:9 exact 68:18 exactly 13:21 example 27:13 30:20 56:15 62:5,24 71:3 excavated 45:1 excited 49:7 excuse 6:17 20:5 29:8 exist 36:24 existing 36:21 40:4 42:14,17,18 43:14 43:16 45:19 46:25 exonerate 73:22 expecting 35:6 expires 81:17 explain 30:6,25 31:4 31:9 explaining 15:22 exposure 23:16,22 25:18,20 26:1,9 expound 34:20</p> | <p>extend 28:5 extends 75:8 extent 17:11 18:1 20:16 21:3,20 22:3 24:11 25:1 extraterrestrial 59:16 eyes 51:7</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">f</p> <hr/> <p>f 1:12 2:4 81:3 fact 14:4 24:1 27:25 72:10 fair 50:4 fall 33:13,17 falling 77:11 falls 75:23 false 54:25,25 57:9,9 59:15 far 12:14 28:11 30:14 31:12 33:23 34:8 50:6 76:2 78:4 78:17,22 farm 27:17 feasibility 15:15 16:8 17:2 18:11,13 18:19 fed 18:6 74:17 feed 22:13 feel 21:2 31:6 70:16 feet 20:22 28:13 30:12 31:19,21 felt 4:16 62:24 77:25 feshbach 1:22 16:1 16:4 24:8 25:14 26:4,17 27:1,10,14 27:18,21 29:12,24 34:16 35:8 fiberglass 43:24 44:9,12,24 56:12,19 56:22,25 field 21:2 40:10 41:6 41:19,22 42:2 43:3 figured 74:14</p> | <p>figures 44:3 46:19 file 55:16 filed 25:3 files 42:21 64:4 76:20 77:8 78:11 final 15:9 17:13,17 21:7 39:14 40:1 finalized 60:24 finally 17:1 18:15 financially 81:13 find 12:8 48:14 50:14 58:12 64:5,13 79:5 findings 36:4 45:4 46:14,25 53:1 fine 9:11 finish 7:1 8:12 finished 8:12 fired 38:12 first 13:6 15:4 16:11 18:1 22:3,5 24:22 36:20 45:4 46:24 47:5 57:22 69:19 72:4 five 16:10 fixtures 37:6,9,15 37:23 flexibility 31:20 fm 30:20 fmera 28:23 49:11 49:12,20 50:3,3,8 51:3 52:10,12,17,19 59:9 62:3 76:8 fmera's 51:23 foliage 33:14 61:7 folks 33:12 55:1 57:10 follow 64:10 followed 17:6 following 40:2,7 41:5 follows 54:4 foot 28:1 foregoing 81:5</p> |
|---|---|--|--|

| | | | |
|--|---|--|---|
| <p>form 58:9 formation 20:24 former 20:8 forming 34:24 fort 1:1,4,8 2:6 16:7 16:20 17:3,8 19:4 19:15 24:6 26:15,16 35:10 36:1,3,9,16 36:22,25 38:7,9,11 38:16,23 39:13 40:2 40:20 41:11,15,25 42:2,19,20 43:4,12 44:1 45:17,24 52:13 53:10 55:8,20 56:8 70:11,18 73:22 78:15,18,19 forth 37:4 64:18 81:8 forward 51:12 61:13 70:20 forwarded 66:12 found 28:4,16,20 45:2 48:3 51:10 52:24 53:2,6,9 54:22 56:5,10,12,18 56:24 57:6,6,11 58:5 60:9 73:21 77:18 78:1 foundation 57:19 four 15:6 20:5,22 21:13 64:14 frances 1:20 frank 1:17 12:17,18 14:16 63:10,16 64:2 68:2,21 69:11 73:8 75:14 frank's 69:11 free 65:10 freely 27:8 friends 79:9 frown 52:6 fs 15:15,23 16:8,12 17:6,11,16,20,23 18:20,20 19:1,22 20:17 24:13 60:23</p> | <p>ftm 62:6 ftmm 19:3,11,14,21 20:9,13,14,17 23:24 27:23 28:10 42:11 fuel 38:7,13,17 41:14 51:16 fuels 38:6,19 fulfilled 4:17 full 24:13 32:6 fund 70:10 funding 54:6 70:19 70:21 funds 54:6 71:3 furnaces 38:12 further 10:6 14:3 17:18 24:17 30:6 36:16 39:6 40:17,20 41:17 42:6,22 43:21 44:21 45:13 48:16 55:2 56:18 60:13 74:7 81:9 future 19:18 23:22 24:16 70:21 76:8</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">g</p> <p>gain 17:12 gallons 37:19 galore 64:7 game 10:9 garden 19:6 garrison 41:25 53:10 gas 39:4 43:19 gasoline 38:8 general 25:10,12 generate 34:5 generated 77:23 geologic 20:21 geophysical 39:9,21 39:24 40:18,23 41:6 41:16,17,22 42:23 43:11 46:2 54:22 georeferenced 41:12 getting 10:25 29:25 60:25 61:4 72:11,20</p> | <p>75:5 give 10:4 15:10,11 16:19 31:2,6 54:9 54:16 given 15:17 31:4 40:13 58:11 gives 21:1 giving 16:7 35:10 57:8 glad 76:25 go 3:4 9:7,9 12:23 13:2,20 14:1 25:19 28:13 38:4 49:9 50:17 51:3 52:16,17 53:14 55:24 56:16 60:12 61:12 64:14 66:19,24 67:14 68:22 69:17 70:1,3 76:2,19,22 77:7,16 77:22 78:25 79:4 goes 10:24 28:2,14 49:10 51:22 78:10 going 3:2 9:5 15:22 30:4 31:19 51:7 68:13 70:16 71:4 73:1 74:15 76:21 gonna 11:18 15:21 15:24 16:6,11,14,19 17:7 27:1 32:24 38:4 48:16 49:12,13 49:24 61:6,22,23 69:17 70:7 71:12 good 7:5 14:13 30:20 32:18 35:13 61:15 74:21 75:1 google 12:12 gotten 67:6 government 74:4,5 76:10 graded 29:23 grading 30:11 granted 42:22 graph 7:3 11:14 graphical 10:22 13:1,4</p> | <p>graphs 10:22 78:4 grass 29:10 30:19 32:5,21 grassy 29:23 gray 20:8 21:6 great 63:2,2 76:17 greater 78:14 green 1:13 3:1,7,19 4:6,18,20,23 5:1,6,9 5:12,17,20,24 6:4,9 6:17,25 7:12,14 8:9 8:11,22 9:3,12,17 9:19 10:3,11,14 11:20,23 12:3,17 13:20 14:1,9,11,14 14:18,25 19:7 25:8 26:20 28:17 29:15 29:19 30:2,22 31:25 32:20 33:10,17 34:18,20 35:9 46:15 47:15,21 48:17 49:5 50:22 51:2,8 52:22 53:8 54:15 59:23 60:7,16 61:8,15,18 61:24 62:10,13,16 62:20 63:5,14,24 66:14 67:12,17,20 67:25 68:7,10,19 69:13 71:22 72:1,8 74:7,21,25 75:14 76:11 79:20,24 80:3 grimm 1:15 12:1,10 ground 37:11,24 49:24 53:4 60:4,6 groundwater 21:11 21:25 22:22,23,24 22:25 23:2,17 63:21 groundwork 20:20 group 30:24 grouping 25:11 grow 27:17 32:5 growing 32:23 grown 32:22 gruskos 1:16 8:1,10 10:17 11:17,21 12:5</p> |
|--|---|--|---|

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| <p>12:14 26:21 27:5,12 29:4,17,20 31:7 33:11,21 50:5,25 51:6,9,21 52:18 53:19 59:8 70:6,15 71:1,7,19,24 guess 6:22 12:5 27:2 49:10 50:18 53:2 69:1 guidelines 17:23 guise 73:13 guys 5:25 28:21 63:3</p> | <p>44:13,18,19,25,25 heated 38:12 heating 35:11,18 37:13,17,20 38:1,9 38:10,17 43:17 44:11,13,17,19,24 55:25 56:2 heavy 11:13 73:25 78:5,6,13 held 2:6 help 15:12 25:23 34:13 68:21 helped 60:2 helps 18:23 hereinbefore 81:8 hidden 73:13 76:8 hiding 74:21 high 32:5 44:2,8 45:21 46:17 47:1 57:4 higher 13:6 73:23 highest 78:8 highlighted 19:10 historical 41:12,14 history 16:22 19:13 38:7 54:17 hold 5:2,14 15:24 holidays 72:3 homes 27:13 honest 74:25 hook 75:16 hope 49:20 54:11 hoping 10:24 hornerstown 20:24 houdini 74:10 hour 14:10 house 51:16 huge 59:5 human 23:20 24:12 24:14 75:7 hurts 76:9,9,9 hydrocarbons 13:5 13:6 78:4</p> | <p style="text-align: center;">i</p> <p>ics 24:24 idea 33:13 ideal 54:4 identification 43:19 43:22 identified 18:11 22:11 23:1,9,18 40:17,22 41:21 42:2 46:3 56:7 identify 36:23 39:22 41:24 identifying 57:13 illegal 74:20 76:25 79:18 imagine 31:17 imcom 53:9 immediate 31:14,23 immediately 31:10 impact 21:25 22:22 75:7 impetus 11:10 implementation 24:25 implemented 24:24 important 7:11 8:3 8:5 28:23 77:19 impossible 59:17 improperly 7:3 inactive 19:16 include 44:23 49:21 58:16 62:8,8 included 13:7 39:1 40:5,9 41:12,23 42:14,17 43:19 78:3 includes 35:21 37:5 38:25 45:6,19 including 37:8,15 37:22 73:24 inclusion 13:4 incorrectly 78:3 increased 70:10 independent 74:3</p> | <p>indicate 43:17,22 indicated 28:4 40:20 41:2 43:8 indicates 21:16 individual 11:3 38:13,21 51:12,23 individually 30:11 industrial 78:16,16 78:22 industries 64:2 74:1 75:3 78:12 information 5:15 9:1,25 36:10,22 40:6,7 41:12 43:6,8 47:12 51:4 55:23 57:23 58:2,10,13,13 66:13,16,18,19,20 66:24 67:2,6,9 68:8 68:12 69:3,5,14,15 77:3 78:25 79:8,13 informed 74:24 inherit 49:13 initial 77:17 initially 57:25 initiate 18:23 initiative 55:8 inner 31:18 input 31:2 58:1 61:22,23 insane 76:4,6 insist 74:3 inspection 65:8 installation 38:25 42:14 53:12 installations 38:1 installed 56:20,20 56:21 institutional 24:24 intent 47:24 intercept 19:25 interested 10:8,25 31:21 71:2 81:13 interesting 59:9 70:21 71:20</p> |
| <p style="text-align: center;">h</p> <p>habitats 24:4 half 13:2 14:10 59:5 77:21 hall 62:7 hand 14:14 61:13,13 69:23 74:11,12 76:1 handled 28:19 handouts 73:15 hands 8:18 happen 32:24 happened 9:24 12:19 53:15 54:17 58:7 happens 29:1 hard 50:5,5,6 harder 62:24 harm 75:22 hat 33:22 hate 54:1 hailed 79:16 hazardous 37:8 head 12:17 health 1:15 23:20 24:12,14 60:18,22 hear 8:13 16:2 34:2 heard 9:8 12:3 34:10 78:1 hearsay 59:11 74:9 heat 43:18,18,18,19 44:10,10,10,11,13</p> | | | |

| | | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| <p>interpretation 64:25 interruption 6:24 introduction 35:21 intrude 28:10 intrudes 27:24 investigate 36:16 47:25 48:19,21 76:5 investigated 20:18 54:21 investigating 54:18 investigation 15:15 16:8,23,24 17:25 18:18 20:10 21:20 35:18 36:7,13,20 39:18 48:25 49:3 54:7 55:2,17 56:18 60:13 investigations 18:15 21:2 40:17 invite 63:2,2 involved 33:5 61:12 74:20 involvement 18:23 irregular 63:1 irresponsible 76:7 issue 3:2 17:18 50:4 51:10,13 52:15,23 74:15 issued 12:22 36:15 40:16 item 16:19 62:2 73:2 74:22 items 4:14 16:11 26:21</p> | <p>jim 3:7 5:10 15:2 72:17,23 jim's 5:12 job 1:25 2:12 13:2 30:20 jobs 79:2 joe 72:24 joel 1:15 joins 20:1 july 40:1 46:11 72:3 73:8 june 81:17 junk 35:3</p> | <p>knowledge 71:18 known 16:14,17 43:20 kokas 65:21,23 66:12 kriney 1:22</p> | <p>75:7 76:5 legal 47:22 48:18 length 13:16 letter 40:19 letters 17:18 42:20 43:22 45:13</p> |
| <p>j</p> | <p>k</p> | <p style="text-align: center;">l</p> <p>laboratory 78:14,18 78:23 lady 8:15 lake 6:22 7:7,20,21 13:10 73:5,21 75:4 75:11 78:8 lakes 6:16,21 7:7 11:1 13:11,12,14 77:10,17 78:9 land 24:16 25:4,4 landfill 15:6,23 19:3 19:15,17,23,24 20:6 20:9,10,10,11,23 24:23 26:23 27:4 28:5 30:4,5,8,9,10 31:3,12 62:11 landfills 20:13 21:4 27:25 31:16 32:14 59:1 61:5 lands 31:18 34:14 large 38:20 late 39:19 70:22 law 47:24 48:23 79:17 laying 28:24 leader 71:15 leads 18:20 leakage 58:25 leaking 48:6,8,15,20 49:2,9 76:6 leaks 45:16 learn 70:3 leave 49:23 leaves 33:15 leaving 52:7 left 19:6,8,11 29:17 32:3,6 47:6 53:4</p> | <p>letting 32:5 level 78:8 levels 21:22 63:18 63:20 69:12 liability 16:13 libel 76:25 libelous 77:1 79:16 license 81:17 lifespan 47:20 light 79:10 linda 1:18 3:11,12 14:14 25:22 33:21 34:25 35:2 52:19 53:23 68:20,20,21 line 19:23 20:8,12 28:6 46:23,24 68:20 lines 21:7 37:6,9,15 37:22 list 24:21 57:4 63:6 77:23 listen 9:9 listens 8:19 literally 55:22 little 15:5,23 16:20 16:21 19:13 34:4,21 44:5 52:9 55:2 65:7 68:17 71:21 loaded 77:18 loan 69:18 local 67:10 locate 45:12 located 16:21 location 28:8 40:14 41:14 56:13 locations 21:13,14 36:23 41:7,20 43:2 45:15,20 46:20,21 47:8 50:19 56:8</p> |

| | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| <p>long 25:1 longer 27:24 73:24 look 3:13 14:22 22:2 23:16 25:17 33:7 48:16 55:25 56:9,16 58:17 61:5 65:10,16 71:10 74:11,13 76:20 77:7 78:4 looked 13:15 30:11 54:23 55:4 63:23 67:25 68:1 looking 31:22 35:6 60:19,21 62:4 64:12 64:18 68:13 70:19 looks 27:23 lose 69:19 loss 58:23 lot 8:25 32:23 34:5,9 57:21 66:17,20,21 67:15 69:3,20 70:4 72:20 76:18 low 44:2,16 45:21 46:18 47:1 lower 50:15 51:25 lsrp 67:4 luc 24:25 lydia 2:4 81:3</p> | <p>man 74:25 manager 1:18 managers 55:2 managing 38:23 mandate 76:7 manuals 17:22 map 19:21 21:8 27:20 55:13,13 56:6 58:3 maps 40:5,12 41:14 55:16 57:6,7 58:2 58:11,12 march 42:5 masking 13:8 master 55:21 70:17 material 19:17 20:23,24,25 30:12 34:6 43:23 53:25 74:23 materials 78:20 matter 2:3 14:4 26:25 72:10 74:15 74:16 mayor 73:19 mcdonnell 2:4 81:3 meadows 32:5 mean 14:2 15:6 25:16 52:11 56:11 68:3,19,20 71:11,12 means 37:3,14 75:15 measurements 64:8 media 23:18 medical 3:2 meet 23:12 meeting 3:4 6:7,7 8:14 9:4,25 10:1,2 12:16 27:16 30:3,10 30:23 52:20 60:18 62:3 66:2,9 72:13 72:21 73:9 76:21 78:2 80:3 meetings 15:8 31:9 52:10 meets 23:24</p> | <p>member 49:16 members 3:23 6:2 49:11 74:17,23 77:13,16 memory 4:14 mentioned 11:13 13:5 21:5 22:6,14 25:12 63:20 66:10 meriney 1:22 16:1,4 24:8 25:14 26:4,17 27:1,10,14,18,21 29:12,24 34:16 35:8 metal 57:11 59:21 60:10 78:13 metallurgical 64:1 74:1,12 75:3 78:12 metals 11:10,13 13:8,16 21:18 22:13 22:18,20 23:4 73:25 78:5,6,9 method 38:9,17 methods 41:18 microphone 4:7 microsoft 40:3 mike 6:18 8:9 16:3 25:9 mill 19:24 20:4 mind 52:2 minute 3:13 6:25 8:11 46:15 minutes 3:8,10,14 3:16,22 4:9,11,18 5:2,14,18 6:3 7:5 8:4,16,21,23,23 9:7 10:13 13:23 14:20 14:22 66:1,2 72:14 misdirection 74:10 missing 77:19 mixed 68:16 mm 16:21 monday 52:20 71:21 monitoring 21:11 67:5 monmouth 1:1,4,8 1:15 2:6 6:15,21</p> | <p>12:13 16:7,20 17:3 17:8 19:4,15 24:6 26:15,16 35:10 36:1 36:3,9,16,22 38:7,9 38:12,16,23 39:13 40:2,20 41:11,15,25 42:2,19,21 43:4,12 44:1 45:24 52:13 53:10 55:9,20 56:9 70:11 77:10 78:15 78:18,19 month 6:2 72:5 moral 75:21 motion 79:22,25 motter 6:13 9:6 10:19 12:23 motter's 7:17 move 51:12 79:23 80:1 moving 70:19 73:2 muddy 74:5 multiple 34:11</p> |
| <p>m</p> | | | <p>n</p> |
| <p>m 29:16 62:8,10,11 62:13,14,17,21,24 m4 15:15,19,23 machine 70:1 madam 76:16 79:19 magnetic 53:1 mail 15:16 mailed 15:16 main 19:5,9,12 38:25 42:16 45:6,20 maintenance 25:1 major 58:8 majority 38:11 making 70:9 74:22 malfunctioning 65:15</p> | | | <p>n.j.a.c. 37:4 name 35:15 native 30:18 natural 20:23 39:4 nature 18:1 20:16 21:20 22:3 24:11 30:17 64:21 near 21:10 nearby 46:2 necessarily 70:18 necessary 18:14 need 3:13,16,19 14:2 24:19 41:17 72:10 needed 4:16 72:19 negatives 54:25 57:9 negotiate 50:15 51:25 negotiation 50:13 neither 81:9,11</p> |

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| <p>never 66:11 new 1:9 2:6,7 9:25 16:15,16 21:22 22:8 22:16 24:19 26:18 26:19 34:14 36:14 37:13 73:7 75:5,12 76:6 81:5,16 newspapers 79:9 nfa 42:20 nice 8:15 nine 22:12 nj 2:12 nj1927481 1:25 njdep 1:18 17:8,10 39:6 40:20 42:20,22 49:8 76:19 77:7,24 78:11 non 21:24 22:21 37:21 noncarcinogenic 23:19 nope 60:15 north 19:7,24,25 20:1,12 27:24,25 28:5,7 notary 2:5 81:4,16 note 72:3 notes 2:2 4:15 5:3 9:4 70:4 notice 20:1,7 25:3 noticed 5:21 64:20 72:17 notified 73:20 number 26:13 45:5 45:14 53:25 54:12 59:5 numbers 13:13 43:19,22 54:16 62:7 numerous 4:8 nuts 72:17</p> | <p>objective 35:22 36:21,23 objectives 36:19 observation 29:3 observations 40:10 observed 39:7 45:16 45:16 observing 58:24 obtain 53:20 obtained 43:14 obviously 54:3 77:25 occurred 26:9 oceanport 1:9,16,17 2:7 32:11,14 33:4 51:13 70:25 75:20 75:23 october 1:8 2:7 40:15 81:18 odd 70:12,23 office 47:22 48:18 67:22 offices 52:12 oh 4:20,23 20:4 56:17 60:19 oil 35:11,18 37:13 37:17,20 38:2,7,10 38:13,18 41:15 43:18 44:10,11,13 44:13,18,19,25 51:16 okay 3:10,21 5:1,6,9 5:16,20 6:6,11 9:2 9:19 10:16,17 12:19 14:13,23 15:3 16:1 16:6 28:17 31:7 32:1,20 33:3,10,20 35:13 36:6,19 43:10 44:5 49:18 51:9 52:22 60:16 61:3,10 61:24 62:1 63:12 65:4,17 69:16 70:5 71:19 72:1,8 73:2 79:17</p> | <p>old 28:6 49:23 55:13 57:6,6 once 4:4 60:24 61:7 78:10 ones 11:5 47:19 59:3 63:19 77:24 ongoing 67:4 online 66:16 67:1 69:6 open 19:18 27:19 51:7 79:12 operations 78:14 opinion 7:1 31:6 73:16 opportunities 31:22 opportunity 31:1,5 opposed 61:13 opra 4:17 65:20,24 67:7,9,17,17,21,24 order 15:3 original 11:18,23 12:7,9,10 originally 32:16 53:7,8 outdoor 23:21 outline 19:11,22,23 outrageous 74:18 outside 31:8 39:25 overall 34:4 47:20 oversight 17:9,15 overturned 53:4 overview 16:7 35:20 36:2 owens 1:20 ownership 50:9</p> | <p>parcel 45:25 62:9,19 parcels 36:17 39:23 40:18,22,24 42:25 43:5 46:4 parkers 20:1 parks 33:8 parkway 19:7 parsons 1:22,22,23 15:11,22 17:11 35:16 50:19 58:11 part 16:25 17:1 39:20 49:22 51:5,6 51:21 52:8 55:13 70:18 particular 26:25 50:13 58:19 parties 81:11 pass 76:7 passive 19:18 27:19 patch 28:1,3,6,14,15 path 35:1 paul 1:22 15:21,25 35:7 60:20 pause 3:18 pavers 28:2 pay 53:20 pcbs 21:15 penetrating 60:4,6 people 10:9 32:8,10 32:12,15 33:8 50:3 61:4,20 77:2 78:5 79:1 percent 37:10,23 perform 25:16 48:24 performed 21:3 39:9,19,21 41:6 43:4 54:22 55:5 57:2 period 34:15 47:17 permitted 29:5,7 person 32:9,9 73:3 74:3 pessimistic 54:12</p> |
| <p>o</p> | | <p>p</p> | |
| <p>o 1:12 object 40:9,11</p> | | <p>p.m. 1:10 2:8 80:5 package 62:23 page 3:23 6:13 26:22 pages 12:20 66:17 69:19 77:19 paragraphs 65:2</p> | |

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| <p>pesticides 11:10 22:12,18</p> <p>petroleum 36:8,8 37:5</p> <p>phase 30:5 39:12,20 43:7 54:19</p> <p>photographs 40:12 41:13</p> <p>phrased 7:24</p> <p>physically 41:23</p> <p>piece 50:14</p> <p>pieces 50:9</p> <p>pipes 37:6,9,15,22</p> <p>pits 21:4</p> <p>pitting 41:23</p> <p>place 25:6 29:18 39:17 51:14,18 52:7 57:22 73:17 74:24 78:15 81:8</p> <p>placed 24:23 26:23 30:15</p> <p>plainly 73:14</p> <p>plan 15:10 18:21,22 19:19 24:25,25 47:17 70:17</p> <p>planned 31:4</p> <p>planning 30:7,15,16 55:21</p> <p>plans 12:1,4 31:21 32:25 55:8</p> <p>plant 30:15 78:21</p> <p>planting 29:9 30:21</p> <p>plantings 29:21 30:19 31:13,23</p> <p>please 4:7 25:9 35:19 36:5,18,25 38:3,21 39:15 40:14 41:9 42:7 43:9 44:4 45:2 46:9 72:22</p> <p>pledge 3:5,6</p> <p>plot 52:11</p> <p>plotted 11:3</p> <p>plus 43:1 46:5</p> <p>pochuck 19:25</p> | <p>pocket 75:13</p> <p>point 7:6 10:8,24 11:22 13:3 24:13 27:5 30:1 32:16 47:13 69:6 77:6</p> <p>pointing 59:20 60:2</p> <p>points 6:12,14 10:21 11:6 64:8</p> <p>poisoned 75:25</p> <p>pollutants 11:3</p> <p>polluter 78:22</p> <p>pollution 58:24 69:10 78:13</p> <p>pony 73:17</p> <p>popular 53:5</p> <p>portion 18:23 19:12</p> <p>portions 19:4</p> <p>pose 18:4 70:24</p> <p>positives 54:25 57:9 59:15</p> <p>possible 17:11 31:24 39:10 46:7</p> <p>post 19:5,9,12 38:25 42:16 45:6,20 49:25</p> <p>postpone 4:10</p> <p>potential 18:3 22:7 36:23 40:10 44:15 45:1,18 46:24 47:8 47:13 48:12,20 49:2 49:19 50:12 51:1,24 54:24 57:5 58:16 59:3 70:10</p> <p>potentially 36:1,24 42:10,13 43:2 49:23</p> <p>potter 6:12,12</p> <p>practice 69:18</p> <p>pre 38:6</p> <p>precise 4:1</p> <p>predecessor 65:23</p> <p>prepared 39:5 40:1 42:19,23 73:15 77:20</p> <p>prepares 8:21,23</p> <p>preparing 8:22 17:11</p> | <p>preplanned 73:9</p> <p>presence 39:22</p> <p>present 1:21 3:24 36:1,21 38:22 41:24 42:10 44:15,22 47:9 62:25</p> <p>presentation 4:24 11:7,12 14:2 15:10 15:12,25 30:4,10 31:4 33:23 35:10,21 46:12 63:16 66:8 69:7 73:7,12,15 77:6</p> <p>presentations 10:18 30:6 73:10</p> <p>presented 31:22 53:24 69:7</p> <p>presenting 35:17 74:8</p> <p>presents 35:25 37:1 42:9</p> <p>pretty 14:16 20:21</p> <p>prevent 25:2 27:7 71:9</p> <p>previous 15:8 21:6 55:4 72:14 73:10</p> <p>previously 41:3 42:1 45:5,23 54:21 56:6</p> <p>price 50:13,15 52:1</p> <p>primacy 17:14</p> <p>primarily 17:15 21:18 32:13</p> <p>primary 17:9 38:6,9 38:17</p> <p>prior 32:4 38:20 40:23 42:23 45:6</p> <p>probabilities 57:3,4</p> <p>probability 36:2 43:10,13 44:2,6,7,8 44:16 45:21 46:18 47:2 56:4 58:4,14 58:18</p> <p>probable 59:2</p> <p>probably 4:3 15:5 26:5 69:2 76:5</p> | <p>problem 6:10,10 9:20 33:18 57:8,18</p> <p>problems 50:10 57:21 59:13</p> <p>procedure 3:9</p> <p>proceedings 1:4 2:3 4:13 80:5 81:6</p> <p>process 16:12 17:6 17:20,22,23 18:12 19:1 26:8 34:23 68:16,17,22</p> <p>processes 71:15</p> <p>productive 53:17</p> <p>products 36:8</p> <p>program 38:23 39:1 55:1,7</p> <p>programs 20:18</p> <p>projects 70:22</p> <p>proof 66:4,9 79:19</p> <p>proper 30:11 48:22</p> <p>properly 10:13 28:19 30:13 51:15</p> <p>properties 50:9 53:21 62:5 71:4</p> <p>property 40:4,12 41:13 42:17 43:16 45:25 49:13,14 50:14 70:11,19</p> <p>proposed 18:21,22</p> <p>protection 16:16 21:21 36:15</p> <p>provide 17:17 23:14</p> <p>provided 34:9 43:11 64:2 67:10 74:23</p> <p>provides 17:9 35:22 35:24 36:2</p> <p>proximity 56:23</p> <p>prudent 59:2</p> <p>public 2:5 3:24 4:3 6:7 18:23 31:2 39:10 51:5,6 60:18 60:22 73:3 74:4,14 74:18,21 75:1 76:3 76:11 81:4,16</p> |
|--|--|--|--|

| | | | |
|--|---|---|--|
| <p>published 26:10 34:22 pulled 44:9,12,12,17 44:24 purchase 52:12 purpose 63:7 purposes 27:9 38:2 put 6:17 7:19 11:5 12:13,21 14:25 28:15,23 30:12,16 33:1 35:1 55:9 56:4 57:23 58:10,11 65:10 66:5 68:15,20 77:24 78:17,20 79:8 79:13 puts 8:19 78:22 putting 28:3</p> | <p>railcar 38:19 raise 52:21 range 1:18 4:21 7:23 14:16 34:1 48:5,9 54:3 ranges 23:24 24:16 rankings 36:2 44:6 rate 73:23 rateables 70:10 rationale 42:11 rci 55:7 read 4:12 5:22 6:11 33:22 49:7 54:1 77:11,17 79:3,3 readily 64:6 reading 4:10 readings 63:17 69:11 reads 59:9 78:10 ready 3:15,20 64:10 real 40:4,12 41:13 42:17 43:16 61:21 realignment 17:5 realize 61:21 really 18:1 47:5 50:1 53:11,12 66:21 70:20 reanalyzed 41:1 reason 4:13 9:14 31:7 48:5,13 49:23 reasons 8:3 9:15 rec 33:8 recall 60:1 receive 15:14 received 15:14 65:25 66:11 67:9 receptors 24:4 recommendation 24:10 recommendations 39:20 recommended 24:14 record 18:21,24 51:5,7 56:24 70:16</p> | <p>76:3 recording 8:25 records 40:12 41:13 42:18 43:14,16 45:8 56:10,11 68:25 recreational 23:21 27:8 red 3:12 19:11,22,22 20:12 21:7 redevelop 62:25 redeveloping 49:25 redevelopment 49:22 refer 38:1 reference 10:19 referenced 12:15 referred 18:5 refine 20:10 41:17 reflected 10:13 regarding 6:15,20 regardless 75:18 regards 4:23 regional 21:22 regs 54:8 regular 57:10 regulate 54:5 regulations 53:24 regulatory 17:9,14 reiterated 66:7 relate 54:1 related 11:21 24:11 27:6 36:22 37:6,10 37:16,23 64:4 70:6 relates 51:11 70:8 relative 81:10,12 release 39:7 relying 10:23 remain 42:13 43:12 44:1 remaining 45:18 46:24 47:4,11,20 remedial 15:15 16:8 16:23,23 17:25 18:9 18:10,14,16,18</p> | <p>remediation 16:18 21:23 22:19 70:18 remedies 17:18 remedy 18:24 remember 7:23 13:8 52:10 59:22 66:1 reminding 72:12 removal 43:20 48:22 53:14 57:1 removals 42:21 45:14 remove 47:23,25 48:4 49:22 53:10 removed 39:3,5,16 41:25 42:3,15 45:2 45:5,9 46:1 47:3,18 53:9 56:10,11,15,17 56:19 repackage 62:23 replies 72:20 report 7:19 16:9 18:20,20 19:22 34:5 35:4,17,18,22 36:7 36:9,11,12,13,20 39:14 40:1,16 42:12 43:7 44:4 45:4 46:10,19,22 50:23 55:11 66:5,6,8 76:21 77:10,11,17 77:17,21,25 78:3,7 reported 60:12 reporter 2:5 6:24 81:4 reports 17:12,16 39:4,6 42:4,19,23 43:15,21 45:10,11 45:15 55:5,6,10,11 60:22 65:8 69:13 78:1 representative 1:14 1:16,17,19,20 22:25 72:25 73:1,11,18 representatives 78:25</p> |
| q | | | |
| <p>quantify 42:12,24 quantitative 23:15 quantities 36:24 question 7:18 25:8 25:15 27:7 29:4 32:1,25 46:20 49:10 50:18 51:11 53:23 58:21 64:16 70:12 70:23,25 questioning 74:18 questions 15:24 26:20 33:20 34:9,25 58:20 65:18 71:23 quick 17:21,21 18:25 19:20 quite 29:12 quorum 72:18 quote 9:5 10:3 74:9</p> | | | |
| r | | | |
| <p>r 1:12 rab 3:23 10:25 50:2 70:6 71:22 73:10 74:23 77:13,16 78:1 79:10,13 radar 59:20 60:2,2,5 60:6</p> | | | |

| | | | |
|--|--|---|---|
| <p>reprocessed 41:11 reprocessing 41:5 request 4:17 33:2 61:25 65:25 69:2 requested 42:6 66:13 requesting 61:9 requests 42:5 63:5 require 23:12 74:2 required 24:18 34:7 36:16 40:16,22 47:23 48:10,18,21 48:24 requirements 16:18 17:10,12 23:13 24:20 requires 17:5 requiring 45:14 reread 77:16 resident 1:20 residential 21:24,24 22:19,21 25:2 27:7 27:13 37:18,21 55:7 residents 75:20 76:9 77:3 response 7:18 16:13 66:3 responsibility 75:5 responsible 75:3 restoration 1:1,4 result 18:7 65:24 results 20:17 22:3,9 23:10,23 39:13,24 41:16 43:3 46:2 retired 11:24 return 53:20 revealed 41:17 reveals 75:8 revenue 70:10 review 5:3 6:5 8:3,6 11:11 14:6 17:15 40:11 42:14,20,23 45:8 46:11 60:22 reviewed 34:1</p> | <p>reviewing 15:13 reviews 25:5 revised 3:14 27:23 revision 3:11 rfp 60:16 ri 15:15,23 16:8,12 17:6,11,16,20,23 18:20,20 19:1,22 20:17 21:20 22:3 24:10 60:23 rich 8:9 26:20 34:20 35:9,16 60:20 richard 1:16,23 ridiculing 74:25 right 3:8,10 11:8,17 14:18 19:5 24:8 26:5 28:2,6,7,14,24 31:19,25 32:17 35:1 35:7,15 50:10,25 56:22,22 61:20 62:16 80:3 riprap 32:3 risk 17:1 18:4,6,7 22:13 23:11,12,15 23:24 24:12,15 25:16,24 26:6,9 60:19 risks 23:20 24:14 26:3 river 31:19 road 28:2,10,14,24 28:24 33:19 role 17:15 roundtable 61:1,2 73:13 route 52:17 65:20 rule 52:8 rules 37:5 run 75:2 running 19:7,24 29:6 runs 19:25</p> | <p>s samples 21:10,11,12 21:14 34:6 sampling 39:25 sara 73:4,5 saw 68:9 74:14 76:1 saying 9:8 13:9 25:15 26:14,15,17 29:13 48:15,18 49:2 49:21 50:25 52:1,3 64:23 66:23 67:13 68:1,2,11 70:16 77:10 79:18 says 9:9 26:22 49:8 50:2 scan 59:12 60:3 scanned 65:14 scanner 65:5,14 69:18,21 70:2 scenario 25:18 54:14 scenarios 21:24 23:22 25:18 science 79:15 scope 10:24 31:8 screening 18:13 21:22 second 14:22 16:19 33:22 36:23 46:23 72:4,7 80:2 section 18:11 29:2 36:7 sections 65:9 sediment 21:14 23:9 23:17 63:21 69:9,10 see 6:18 11:14 14:3 19:10,10,22 20:2,12 20:13 22:17 28:14 32:15 33:8,18 49:3 49:23 58:2 59:9 63:3,17,22 64:5,15 66:20 67:14 72:1 74:11,12 77:14,21 78:11</p> | <p>seeding 30:14,16 seen 19:4 50:6 66:24 selected 18:25 sell 53:21 selling 50:13 53:18 53:19 semi 22:18,20 send 13:21 61:8,24 63:6,9,10,11 65:23 72:11,25 76:21 sending 73:1 sense 58:19 sent 3:10 6:4 12:20 66:11 sentence 7:17 separate 11:9 separately 11:4 september 17:4 53:13 58:5 series 24:20 session 65:19 set 21:1 25:19,24 26:10 37:4 44:20 81:8 setting 20:21 settled 75:10 seven 71:5 sewer 78:21 shade 32:8 shaking 12:17 shame 74:19,19,21 74:25 77:8 shaw 39:19 40:1 sheets 12:21 77:23 79:4 shore 31:14 shoreline 31:13 shorelines 31:10 shorthand 2:5 81:4 show 56:11 61:21 65:7 67:12 68:4 73:15,17 showed 21:7 23:23 55:12,15 57:19 67:11</p> |
|--|--|---|---|

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| <p>showing 22:9 shown 16:25 shows 40:13 si 38:2 39:18,20 40:1 40:16 sic 65:23 side 20:4,5,6 siekerka 65:22 sign 52:14 signed 73:3 significant 31:23 75:7 signing 77:9 silt 20:25 similar 11:4 simon's 77:15 simple 20:21 simply 76:19 79:2 simun 1:23 35:13 46:16 47:7,12 simun's 12:20 single 55:24 74:19 site 12:2 16:18,21,25 18:4,10,25 19:14 20:18 21:17 23:1 24:2,5,11 25:17 26:12 28:19,20 36:13 37:17,20 39:18 41:14 44:25 52:24 54:18 58:19 60:19 62:6 64:2 65:8 73:22 78:12 sites 17:7,19,24,24 26:11,15,16,18 44:8 44:16,16,20,21,22 44:23 46:20 48:22 53:18,19 58:14,16 62:25 63:22 situation 50:8 52:8 six 21:11,14 size 57:13 skin 25:20 skipping 62:20 sky 77:10</p> | <p>slanderous 79:1 slide 16:9 19:19 20:14,15 23:10 24:9 25:7 27:20 35:18,20 36:5,18,25 37:1 38:3,21 39:15 40:14 41:9 42:7 43:8,9 44:4 45:2 46:9 73:15 slides 19:2 38:4 65:10 small 19:14 smelting 78:17 smile 52:6 smith's 73:18 software 41:2 soil 21:10,23 22:4,5 22:19,22 23:16,20 23:23 24:22 26:22 51:18 64:11 soils 22:1 sold 75:19 solidifies 18:24 somebody 32:7 sorry 6:13 8:11 15:1 sort 6:14 8:19 12:6 31:21 58:23 59:6 70:9 71:1 sorts 29:21 59:13 sought 71:3 sound 11:17 sounds 14:19 74:17 source 56:1,2 south 19:7,24 space 19:18 27:19 speak 25:9 50:6 54:15 72:10 speaking 63:25 specialist 26:6 specific 19:2 spike 13:7 squabbling 61:14 squire 62:6,13,15 stabilization 29:8,16 32:20,24</p> | <p>stabilize 28:3 29:13 stabilized 31:12 stable 19:17 staged 38:19 standard 22:8,15,21 22:22 standards 6:23 21:23,25 22:17,20 23:4,5 25:11,13,22 26:10,19 34:8 standpoint 20:20 start 3:5 15:21 17:3 started 3:4 55:16 57:25 starting 38:5 state 2:5 13:11 19:7 26:18 34:23 35:4,5 48:19 52:12,17 61:5 66:15,19 67:3,14,16 67:20 81:4,16 stated 7:5,18 8:17 15:8 17:14 30:9 statement 7:8 12:7 13:19 65:19 66:3,10 75:6 79:16 statements 77:2 79:1 stating 6:15 statistics 74:13,13 status 60:17 stay 34:24 35:3 steel 43:23 44:15,17 44:23 56:11,13,20 56:21,23 57:1 stenographer 9:12 10:5 stenographer's 5:3 stenographic 2:2 stenographically 81:7 stenography 8:24 step 74:7 stereotype 54:2 stewardship 71:12</p> | <p>stoop 75:15 storage 35:23 37:3,5 38:16,17 store 37:19 stored 38:13 story 54:11 stream 29:6,7,8,8,10 29:14,15,21 31:20 63:20 64:8 75:20 78:13 streams 31:23 70:11 strike 58:6 stringent 25:13 strings 74:14 strong 40:13 structural 46:1 structures 38:11 40:5 43:17 studied 48:14 studies 21:17 70:23 study 6:16,21 7:2 10:19 11:1,11 12:2 12:7,9 15:15 16:8 17:2 18:11,13,15,19 24:5 47:16 59:12 study's 12:10 stuff 11:12 28:4,16 64:9 65:5 66:22 78:11 sub 22:5 subject 33:12 61:4 submitted 39:6 42:5 45:11,11 46:11 subsequently 42:3 subset 22:24 substances 37:8 successful 65:21 sufficient 41:4 suggest 52:18 suitable 29:11 sum 11:4 44:1 summarizes 23:10 23:25 24:9 summary 16:22 17:2,21,21 19:1,20</p> |
|--|--|--|--|

| | | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| <p>20:16 24:17 36:4,21 43:7 45:3,5 46:13 46:25 65:1 67:11 sunspot 59:13 super 59:21,21 sure 15:6 25:5 27:22 28:22 29:13 34:25 51:3,13 68:20 74:22 surely 78:21 surface 21:10,12 23:8,17 28:15 29:23 34:6,8 37:11 63:21 69:8 surround 62:6 survey 39:24 41:6 42:23 52:25 surveyed 78:9 surveys 39:9,21 40:18 41:16,22 54:22 suspected 40:9,17 41:8,21 46:7 svocs 11:9 22:12 symbols 20:3 synopsis 8:19 synthesis 40:4 system 37:13 43:17</p> | <p>tank 35:11,18,23 37:3,5,13 39:1 41:24 43:22,22 44:9 44:12,17,22,23,24 48:19 49:21 51:16 56:21,22,23,25 57:1 57:15,15 tanks 37:4,14,18 38:17 41:15 45:5 48:12 49:24 51:14 53:11 54:13,24 56:10,12,13,15,17 56:19,20,20 57:11 tape 4:13 9:9 14:7 14:12 77:1 tarantolo 73:19 targeted 21:18 targets 18:9 tasks 52:4 technical 16:17 35:25 42:9 tell 49:15,19 60:9 telling 30:25 57:22 ten 28:1,13 66:21,21 tentative 72:2 term 13:14 25:1 37:25 terminology 40:8 47:4 terms 22:11,15 23:3 26:1 terrible 59:14 test 21:4 41:23 tested 51:18 60:8 testing 59:6 64:10 74:3 thank 10:16 13:24 14:13,24 33:16 34:19 35:7,8 60:20 80:4 thing 7:10 8:5 20:7 29:20 51:22 52:16 63:2 65:18 66:6 68:18 73:23</p> | <p>things 4:15 7:10,11 15:1 27:17 33:23 50:11 51:12,19 52:7 62:23 68:16 71:10 79:5 think 6:2 8:7 10:23 11:5 13:3 14:2,16 19:19 25:6 26:5 28:24 32:18 33:4,9 51:9,22,24 52:24 53:5,16 59:5,23 63:1 65:17 66:25 78:10 thinking 58:22 74:2 thought 6:6 7:21 10:12 54:19 67:23 70:9 71:15 thousand 58:4 three 18:12 20:3 39:17 42:1 60:8 61:11 62:18 thursday 1:8 2:7 72:4,4,7 till 33:13 time 3:14,19 4:5 13:6,8 15:5 26:9 34:15 39:17 47:17 57:10 60:24 61:22 62:25 63:17 65:14 74:24 77:7,11,22 79:2 81:7 timeline 35:24 38:5 times 60:8 tinton 75:23 today 20:11 23:1 today's 34:7 told 4:4 57:17 58:7 60:12 67:8 tonight 3:2 14:20 15:1 topic 10:18 52:21 topography 63:1 topsoil 20:22 30:13 total 21:9 45:9</p> | <p>touch 34:23,24 35:3 tour 32:15 33:1 63:7 63:7,8 tours 63:6 town 61:22 70:16 71:12 towns 32:7 51:12,23 61:4,11 71:11 76:9 77:4 transcript 1:4 2:2 4:12 8:7,16,18 13:21 14:21 81:6 transited 27:9 transported 38:20 trash 28:20 treatability 18:15 tree 32:8 trees 26:24,24 27:2 27:4 31:14 32:21 trenching 34:13,15 trenton 68:13 trick 74:15 tried 11:8,9 28:3 65:20 true 47:18 48:4 52:8 81:6 trust 74:4 truth 75:18 try 27:2 34:24 49:14 50:15 51:25 trying 6:11,18 10:3 11:6 15:9 34:21,22 62:8,23 69:5 79:2 two 4:15 16:24 19:4 20:5 22:9,12 30:12 38:20 49:11 58:4 66:17 type 32:8 33:8 43:18 44:9,11,13,17,19,25 48:24 51:4 63:6 types 57:3</p> |
| <p>t</p> | | | |
| <p>table 15:20 43:7 45:4 tailor 26:8 tailored 25:17 26:7 take 3:13 14:5,21 15:5 22:2 30:17 50:8 51:17 52:1 58:3 65:9 69:2 74:24 79:2 taken 2:4 24:19 63:20 81:7 takes 8:18 talk 71:21 talking 62:18 63:3 67:14,18</p> | | | |
| | | | <p>u</p> |
| | | | <p>u.s. 21:21 36:9</p> |

| | | | |
|--|--|---|--|
| <p>uhot 15:17 35:12,23 36:2,6,20 37:2 40:10,11,13,21 42:15,21 43:10 44:3 44:6,7,15 45:1,2,4 45:15,23 46:10 47:9 48:2,23 57:25</p> <p>uhots 36:1,17,22,24 37:25 38:13,16,21 39:1,3,5,11,16,17,23 40:9,17 41:4,8,21 42:1,1,4,10,13,15 43:3,12,20,25 44:11 45:9,10,18 46:7,7 46:24 47:3,11,18,23 48:1 54:5,7 76:5</p> <p>un 47:3</p> <p>unable 72:9,22</p> <p>underground 35:23 37:2 57:21</p> <p>understand 10:11 10:14 15:13 54:4 67:5,24 69:4 77:5 78:7,22 79:6</p> <p>understanding 11:2 12:6 79:14</p> <p>understands 78:19</p> <p>understood 11:22</p> <p>undiscovered 47:3</p> <p>unknown 44:10,14 44:18,19,25</p> <p>unquote 74:9</p> <p>unregulated 35:11 35:17 37:12</p> <p>unresolved 45:22</p> <p>unwise 52:16</p> <p>updated 41:2</p> <p>upland 71:8</p> <p>urban 13:11,14</p> <p>use 4:7 19:18 24:25 25:3,4,5 27:7,8,19 38:10 42:9 50:12 65:6 69:20 70:3</p> <p>usepa 21:22</p> | <p>user 23:21</p> <p>uses 24:16 26:13 27:16 78:16</p> <p>ust 37:2 42:18 45:22 55:1</p> <p>usts 36:16 38:1,24 39:2 53:2 55:12</p> <p>usually 3:7 5:10 15:2 17:24 27:3 71:15</p> <p>utilities 57:20</p> <p>utilized 38:16</p> <p style="text-align: center;">v</p> <p>values 63:17</p> <p>varied 20:18</p> <p>various 41:7</p> <p>vegetated 19:17 24:22 26:22</p> <p>vegetation 32:2,5,23 33:8</p> <p>verbatim 8:16 65:2</p> <p>verification 41:19 41:22 42:3 43:3</p> <p>verify 79:4</p> <p>version 12:23 77:20</p> <p>versus 26:12</p> <p>view 19:9 27:19 28:9 33:14 71:4,8</p> <p>viewpoint 33:24</p> <p>visible 28:7 64:6,6 64:10</p> <p>volatiles 22:18,20</p> <p>volume 37:8,9,21,22 78:20</p> <p>vote 3:15 5:1,6,13 14:25 72:18</p> <p>voting 3:8 14:19,20 72:18</p> <p style="text-align: center;">w</p> <p>wade 1:9 2:6</p> <p>wait 6:25 8:11 33:13 46:15</p> <p>waiting 4:16 34:2</p> | <p>wampum 7:20 13:10 73:21 75:4,10 78:8</p> <p>wanda 1:13 16:6 35:15 50:18 71:25 74:21,25 75:14</p> <p>wandas 74:16</p> <p>wands 74:16</p> <p>want 9:6,17,19 13:19 14:15,23 15:3 15:5,19 27:3 31:2 35:1,3 50:8 54:15 61:6,11 63:7 65:11 72:10 76:2</p> <p>wanted 8:3 9:14 15:18 53:10</p> <p>wants 9:25 75:17</p> <p>water 21:12 23:8,17 63:21 69:8 74:5</p> <p>way 6:9 7:19 22:4 25:23 70:21 78:7</p> <p>ways 59:7 61:15 62:5</p> <p>we've 10:23 58:10 63:3 71:2,2</p> <p>wealth 67:1 68:12</p> <p>wear 33:22</p> <p>website 12:9,11 51:3 67:10 79:10</p> <p>week 15:17 59:10</p> <p>wells 20:4 21:11</p> <p>went 21:5 55:4 57:11</p> <p>west 20:5</p> <p>wetlands 71:6</p> <p>white 55:18,19,22 55:25 57:7 58:12</p> <p>wide 24:5 51:7</p> <p>widely 10:20</p> <p>wilson 28:12,13</p> <p>wiped 58:8</p> <p>wisdom 49:20</p> <p>wise 52:3 53:17</p> <p>wondering 64:25 71:14,16</p> | <p>wood 19:6 28:21 39:1 42:16 45:7,20 58:16 75:7</p> <p>woods 32:6</p> <p>word 4:3</p> <p>words 4:1 65:1</p> <p>work 18:2 46:8 59:15,17 76:18</p> <p>worked 78:19</p> <p>working 75:1</p> <p>works 8:20 39:10</p> <p>worn 70:1</p> <p>worried 79:7</p> <p>worse 7:7,21 78:5</p> <p>worst 54:13 76:10</p> <p>worthy 74:10</p> <p>wrapped 18:19</p> <p>writing 3:12</p> <p>written 17:22 78:8</p> <p>wrong 60:11</p> <p style="text-align: center;">x</p> <p>x 9:8</p> <p style="text-align: center;">y</p> <p>y 9:8</p> <p>yard 48:3</p> <p>yeah 4:4 6:1 9:16 10:7 11:23 12:10,12 13:17 14:9 30:2 32:12 33:3,6 47:7 56:18 59:23,24 60:4 61:15,17,19 62:22 64:19 65:13 67:20 67:25 68:19 70:13 71:7 72:8</p> <p>year 55:24 56:1 66:25</p> <p>years 32:4</p> <p style="text-align: center;">z</p> <p>z 9:8</p> |
|--|--|---|--|