# PUBLIC MEETING PROPOSED PLAN FOR LANDFILL SITES FTMM-02 AND FTMM-08 FORT MONMOUTH, OCEANPORT NJ

# APRIL 12, 2017 AT 7 P.M. EATONTOWN PUBLIC LIBRARY

William Colvin BRAC Environmental Coordinator U.S. Army

"The views, opinions and findings contained in this report are those of the authors(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision, unless so designated by other official documentation."





200.1e FTMM\_07.07\_0518\_a

### AGENDA

- Proposed Plan & Project Team Overview
- Site Background
- Remedial Investigation Results
- Summary of Risks
- Feasibility Study
- Preferred Remedial Alternative
- Public Participation
- Questions and Public Comments







- The Proposed Plan presents the preferred remedial alternative for two former landfills (LF) at Fort Monmouth (FTMM): FTMM-02 and FTMM-08
- The U.S. Army is the lead agency under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA)
- New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) is the state support agency for FTMM





#### PROPOSED PLAN OVERVIEW LANDFILL LOCATIONS – MAIN POST





11011



- Remedial investigations (RIs) conducted from 2014 thru 2016 at the LFs included:
  - Review of previous investigations and sampling results
  - Comparison of contaminant concentrations to NJDEP criteria for soil, groundwater, surface water, and sediment
  - Conducted human health risk assessments (HHRAs)
- HHRA is an evaluation of the potential adverse health effects caused by exposure to contaminants in the soil, groundwater, surface water, and sediment
- No unacceptable risk to human health and the environment was found at FTMM-02 for the current and future intended land use (passive open space)
- Unacceptable risk to human health and the environment from soil was found at FTMM-08 and therefore a Feasibility Study (FS) was conducted for the LF wherein remedial alternatives were evaluated





- Since polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) were detected in soil at both LFs the NJDEP Guidance on Coordination of NJDEP and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) PCB Remediation Policies were both considered where a site is remediated if:
  - PCB concentrations in soil do not exceed 1 milligram per kilogram (mg/kg); or
  - PCB levels are > 1 mg/kg and < to 25 mg/kg (Toxic Substance)</li> and Control Act [TSCA] self-implementing cleanup level) and site is covered with an appropriate cap
- Limited soil excavations of isolated areas to remove soils where PCB concentrations exceed self – implementing clean up level of 25 mg/kg will be conducted





- Vegetated soil cover will be installed at FTMM-02 to provide safety protection from potential exposure to solid waste for future non-residential use and at FTMM-08 a functional equivalent (e.g., open field with porous pavement) or vegetated soil cover to provide protection against contact with constituents of concern (COCs) in soil
- Land use controls (LUCs) to maintain the soil cover and prevent residential land use will be implemented through a Land Use Control Implementation Plan (LUCIP)







#### SITE BACKGROUND

#### **Timeline of Significant Events**







### SITE BACKGROUND

#### **Sample Collection History**

	Soil	Groundwater	Surface Water	Sediment
FTMM-02	<ul> <li>390 near-surface samples collected from 193 borings from November 1998 to June 1999</li> <li>622 samples collected from 73 borings in February 1999</li> <li>208 samples collected from March 1999 to January 2000</li> <li>Pre-design investigation to determine PCB hotspots: 37 samples collected from 18 borings in September 2016</li> </ul>	Sampled quarterly from 1997-2011 Sampled annually from 2013 to present Biannual sampling to begin in 2017	Sampled quarterly from 1996-2010	26 samples collected in April 2000 13 samples collected in 2010
FTMM-08	<ul> <li>614 near-surface samples collected from 291 borings from November 1998 to June 1999</li> <li>293 samples collected from 22 borings in August and October 1999</li> <li>Pre-design investigation to determine PCB hotspots: 50 samples collected from 27 borings in September 2016</li> </ul>	Sampled quarterly from 1997-2011 Sampled annually from 2013 to present Biannual sampling to begin in 2017	Sampled quarterly from 1996-2010	21 samples collected in April 2000 10 samples collected in 2010





# **REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION RESULTS**

#### • FTMM-02

- LF operated from 1964 to 1968
- Final RI Report submitted to NJDEP in January 2016 and approved in September 2016



US Army Corps

- Soil: 1 volatile organic compound (VOC), 6 semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs), 13 metals, 3 pesticides, and 6 PCBs evaluated in the HHRA
- Groundwater: Last eight sampling rounds evaluated as representative of recent conditions for HHRA and included 4 VOCs and 3 metals. VOCs remain above NJDEP criteria; sampling of select wells for VOCs conducted annually
- Surface water: No contamination originating from FTMM-02
- Sediment: No VOCs, SVOCs, pesticides, PCBs, or metals detected in samples above NJDEP criteria



# **REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION RESULTS**

#### • FTMM-08

- LF operated from 1962 to 1981
- Final RI Report submitted to NJDEP in April 2016
- Soil: 1 VOC, 18 SVOCs, 3 PCBs, 16 metals, and 8 pesticides evaluated in the HHRA
- Groundwater: Last eight sampling rounds evaluated as representative of recent conditions for HHRA and included 3 VOCs and 6 metals. VOCs remain above NJDEP criteria; sampling of select wells for VOCs conducted annually
- Surface water: No contamination originating from FTMM-08
- Sediment: No VOCs, SVOCs, pesticides, PCBs, or metals detected in samples above NJDEP criteria







- A Baseline Ecological Evaluation (BEE) (Shaw, May 2012) was conducted at FTMM to assess whether the presence of COCs in sediments, surface water, soil, and groundwater has the potential for adverse effects to wildlife
- It was concluded that constituents at FTMM are unlikely to have adverse effects on the wildlife or their habitats and additional ecological assessment are not warranted
- In an August 2012 letter, the NJDEP accepted the 2012 BEE report's recommendations and conclusions and concurred that no further evaluation of ecological risk is required





12

 HHRAs evaluated risks from human exposure to contaminants in soil, groundwater, surface water, and sediment at each LF



S ARM

- HHRAs identified <u>no</u> COPCs in <u>surface water</u> or <u>sediment</u> at both LFs; therefore no unacceptable risks expected from human exposure to surface water or sediments
- HHRAs evaluated exposure of current/future outdoor workers, future utility workers, and future recreational users to COPCs in soil and groundwater through dermal contact, incidental ingestion, and/or inhalation of particulates
- Groundwater at FTMM is not used as a source of drinking water since municipal water is provided





# SUMMARY OF RISKS AT FTMM

- FTMM-02 No unacceptable potential risks to:
  - Current/future outdoor or utility workers or future recreational users from exposure to <u>soil</u> (through dermal contact, incidental ingestion, and inhalation of particulates)
  - Current/future utility workers from exposure to groundwater (through dermal contact or incidental ingestion)
- Risk to human health and the environment from the soil, groundwater, surface water, and sediment are within the CERCLA risk range for the current and future intended land use (passive open spaces)







15

- FTMM-08 Unacceptable potential risks to:
  - Current/future outdoor or utility workers or future recreational users from exposure to <u>soil</u> (through dermal contact, incidental ingestion, and inhalation of particulates)
- No unacceptable potential risks to:
  - Current/future utility workers from exposure to groundwater (through dermal contact or incidental ingestion)
- Soil presents the only unacceptable risk to human health and the environment; no risks from the groundwater, surface water, and sediment as they are within the CERCLA risk range for the current and future intended land use (passive open spaces)





# FEASIBILITY STUDY

- FTMM-08 FS conducted to identify, develop, and perform a detailed analysis of potential technologies and remedial alternatives that meet the remedial action objective (RAO) and select the most appropriate alternative
- RAO to protect public health by preventing future workers and recreational users from exposures to COCs in soil that could pose an excessive carcinogenic risk or non-carcinogenic (non-cancer) hazard





# **FEASIBILITY STUDY AT FTMM-08**

#### Cleanup levels for COCs in Soil

COC	Cleanup Level	Basis	
SVOC			
Benzidine	700 micrograms per kilogram (µg/kg)	NJDEP Non-Residential Direct Contact Soil Remediation Standard (NRDCSRS)	
Benzo(a)anthracene; Benzo(b)fluoranthene	2 mg/kg	NJDEP NRDCSRS	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	23 mg/kg	NJDEP NRDCSRS	
Benzo(a)pyrene; Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	200 µg/kg	NJDEP NRDCSRS	
РСВ			
Aroclor 1242	25 mg/kg	TSCA	
Metal			
Arsenic	19 mg/kg	NJDEP NRDCSRS	

#### USEPA Evaluation Criteria

- 1. Overall protection of public health and the environment
- 2. Compliance with Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate requirements (ARARs)
- 3. Long-Term Effectiveness and Permanence
- 4. Reduction in Toxicity, Mobility, or Volume through Treatment
- 5. Short-Term Effectiveness
- 6. Implementability
- 7. Cost





# **FEASIBILITY STUDY AT FTMM-08**

- Alternative 1: No Action
  - Used as a baseline, no remedial action or monitoring conducted and contaminants remain in place
  - Minimal cost for abandonment of existing monitoring wells (\$28,000)
- Alternative 2: Institutional/engineering controls, limited removal of isolated areas with PCBs concentrations exceeding 25 mg/kg, and vegetative soil cover or functional equivalent
  - Would meet cleanup goals in a short timeframe, high overall protection of human health and the environment, high longterm effectiveness, high short-term effectiveness, high implementability, and moderate cost (\$2.86M)
  - Preferred Alternative





- Limited excavation of PCB hotspot soils at isolated areas where concentrations exceed 25 mg/kg:
  - FTMM-02: two hotspot areas/estimated volume = 210 cubic yards (cy)
  - FTMM-08: three hotspot areas/estimated volume = 60 cy
  - Concentrations > 25mg/kg and < 50mg/kg will be disposed off-site at TSCA approved non-hazardous disposal facility
  - Concentrations > 50mg/kg will be disposed off-site at TSCA approved hazardous disposal facility

US Army Corps

20

 Vegetated soil cover will be installed at FTMM-02 and FTMM-08 will either have a vegetated soil cover or functional equivalent (open field with porous parking lot)

- Vegetated soil cover will be placed consistent with the NJDEP Solid Waste regulations
- Additional soil will be added to existing soil cover to have a minimum "two feet" of soil between the ground surface and landfilled debris
- Vegetated soil cover to offer safety protection to nonresidents from potential future exposure to solid waste at FTMM-02, and protection against contact with COCs in soil at FTMM-08 and also control surface water runoff and erosion





#### Landfill Cover System Design



CAP SECTION



DELINEATION FABRIC

CAP X-SECTION





- LUCIP will be prepared to:
  - Implement the LUC (e.g., maintain soil cover and prevent residential land use)
  - Document the location of engineering control (e.g., soil cover)
  - Identify procedural responsibilities including cover inspections and maintenance, monitoring and reporting and long term management requirements
- The Army will be responsible for documenting and implementing the LUCs through filing of a deed notice at the time of property transfer
- New owner will be responsible for complying with the LUCs, however the Army will retain ultimate responsibility for remedy integrity

23

 Institutional Controls in the form a Classification Exception Area (CEA) for groundwater at FTMM-02 will be revised and a CEA for FTMM-08 will be established; CEAs will remain in place until NJDEP Groundwater Quality Standards are achieved



- Possible components of remedial measures may also include:
  - Passive methane gas venting and mitigation system
  - Walking paths and access roads





# **PUBLIC PARTICIPATION**

- Public participation is an important component of remedy selection
- The Army is soliciting input from the community on the preferred alternative identified for FTMM-02 and FTMM-08
- Public comments will be included in the Decision Document and will be added to the FTMM Information Repository at the Monmouth Eastern Branch Library
- Comments accepted from March 28 through April 27, 2017





### **QUESTIONS AND PUBLIC COMMENTS**

Comments can be submitted verbally tonight (in meeting transcript) or in writing (forms are available)

#### By mail:

BRAC Environmental Coordinator OACSIM - U.S. Army Fort Monmouth Attn: Mr. William Colvin P.O. Box 148 Oceanport, NJ 07757



#### By email: william.r.colvin18.civ@mail.mil

Written comments must be postmarked or emailed by the comment period close on April 27, 2017.



